

## HAYES HIGGINS PARTNERSHIP CHARTERED ENGINEERS • PROJECT MANAGERS

## Civil Engineering Services Report For

**Residential Housing Development at Blackglen Road** 









#### Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Proposed Site
- 3. Surface Water Drainage System
- 4. Foul Drainage System
- 5. Water Supply System
- 6. Flood Risk Assessment
- 7. Services Design Summary
- Appendix A Proposed Layout Drawings
- Appendix B Site Survey
- Appendix C Surface Water Calculations (Attenuation, MET Eireann rainfall)
- Appendix D Foul Water Calculations
- Appendix E Flood Map Report
- Appendix F Irish Water Confirmation of Feasibility
- Appendix G SuDs Matrix Document
- Appendix H Site Investigation
- Appendix I Stage 1 Road Safety Audit Report



### DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Client	Dun Lo	aoghaire	e, Ratha	down C	ounty C	Council		
Project Title	Reside	ntial Hc	ousing D	evelop	ment a	Blackg	llen Roc	ıd
Project Ref.	23D05	9						
Document Title	Plannii	ng Repo	ort					
Document No.	23D05	9-PR 01						
This Document	DCS	PD	TOC	Text	-	-	-	Appendices
Comprises	1	-	1	5				9
Check								

Revision	Status	Author	Reviewed By	Approved By	lssue Dates
Р	Planning	PN	LM	DH	August 2024



#### 1. Introduction

Hayes Higgins Partnership has been commissioned to prepare a Civil Engineering Services Report for the proposed residential housing development at Blackglen Road.

This report was compiled after reviewing the available information on drainage and water supply, reviewing the OPW flood maps and other available information from public bodies. It contains information on the design of the surface water and foul drainage systems to be constructed for the proposed development.

The design of both the surface water and foul drainage systems has been carried out in accordance with the following:

- The Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works
- Technical Guidance Document H of the Building Regulations
- The Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study (GDSDS)
- DOE Recommendations for Site Development Works for Housing Areas
- BS 8301:1985, Code of practice for Building Drainage
- BS EN 752 External building drainage
- OPW The Planning System and Flood Risk Management
- Uisce Éireann Code of Practice and Standard Details (Water & Wastewater)
- South Dublin County Council Sustainable Drainage Explanatory Design & Evaluation Guide 2022
- Nature-based Solutions to the Management of Rainwater and Surface Water Runoff in Urban Areas
- The SuDS Manual (C753)
- DMURS Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets

The proposed surface water drainage system is a combination of SuDs mechanisms including permeable surfaces, rain gardens / landscaped areas, green roofing and gravity feed drainage systems discharging to attenuation system. The permeable roadway and parking spaces will be used as a stone storage attenuation area also. Surface water run-off will be dealt with on the site and a connection to the public system is provided via hydrobrake. The surface water system is designed to take the runoff generated by a 1 in 100 year storm event (+30%).

The foul drainage system for the proposed development is a gravity feed system within the site, two separate connections to the existing foul line on Blackglen Road will be provided.

There will be a full separation of the foul and surface systems within the site.



#### 2. Proposed Site

The site in question is located at Blackglen Road, Sandyford, County Dublin. The existing site is a greenfield site. The proposed site measures approximately 2.8ha. The site is bound by Blackglen Road to the South, a forestry area to the North and East. There is a large building located to the West of the site. The topography of the site shows that the site slopes off in a south-easterly direction. Proposed on the site are 129 apartments with associated facilities and 138 parking spaces.

The site will be accessed from a new entrance in the south located on Blackglen Road, refer to Appendix A for Sightlines drawing and Swept Path Analysis drawing. A swept path analysis has been undertaken as part of this planning submission and has demonstrated that the proposed site entrance and internal layout can appropriately accommodate the manoeuvring and circulation of fire truck vehicles. The road layout has been reviewed by the Road Audit Safety team and all recommendations have been accepted, see attached Appendix I.

#### 3. Surface Water Drainage

Local Authorities require that all developments include a sustainable urban drainage system, SuDS. A combination of SuDS mechanisms will be utilised on this site. Having undertaken a detailed review of the current site (including site investigations), the surrounding areas and the proposed development a detailed surface drainage strategy has been developed in accordance with all current SuDS guidelines. All possible SuDs mechanisms have been explored, refer to the justification matrix for SuDs in Appendix G. The site investigation confirmed the site not suitable for infiltration and as such the permeable surfacing will be used as an attenuation system. The surface water generated on site will be attenuated via the porous surface and a modular system, both will be connected to the public system via a hydrobrake.

SuDS measures to be utilised on this site include;

- Permeable surfacing will be used within the parking areas and roadways. This will allow some limited natural infiltration and also attenuation storage. These areas have been suitably sized to stone surface water from the surrounding areas
- Rain gardens / planting will allow limited natural infiltration. This has not been taken into account in the surface water calculations but will contribute positively to the overall surface water strategy for the site.
- Green Roofs a green roof will be utilized on three-unit apartment blocks. Green factor of 0.6 was
  used for these roof areas within the design thus reducing overall hard standing area pertaining to
  the roofs. Apartment units 19, 20, 43-46 and 75 contain the green roof.
- Modular attenuation system will be used to compliment the above measures and ensure the outflow from site is restricted to greenfield run off rates.

29

To alleviate any possible risk of flood the on-site surface water system is designed for a 1 in 100-year storm (+30%). A 30% increase in runoff due to global warming is included. Site specific MET Eireann Rainfall data has been used in the surface water drainage and attenuation design. There will be a complete separation of the foul and surface water drainage systems within the site, both in respect of installation and use. The surface water drains are designed in accordance with BS EN 752, Code of Practice for Drainage Outside Buildings.

Refer to Appendix A for the Storm Water Layout and Appendix C for the surface water calculations.

#### 4. Foul Water Drainage

The foul drainage system has been designed in accordance with Uisce Éireann Code of Practice and Standard Details for Wastewater, BS 8301:1985, Code of Practice for Building Drainage and the current Building Regulations and Irish Water Code of Practice.

The foul drainage system for the development is a gravity feed system falling to an existing foul line on Blackglen road. The main foul sewers in the proposed development are to consist of 150mm diameter uPVC pipe and 225mm diameter uPVC pipes with required fall designed throughout to minimise the risk of blockages and to aid maintenance. The development will not result in a significant increase in foul discharge from the site on the public system. A roughness coefficient (ks) of 0.6mm is applied to the design of all pipes. Given the gradient and layout of the site two connections to the exiting line will be provided.

A Pre-Connection Enquiry form was submitted to Uisce Éireann on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2024 nominating the proposed post-development wastewater demand. A Confirmation of Feasibility letter reflecting same for the development was received from Uisce Éireann on 6<sup>th</sup> of June (Uisce Éireann Ref Number: CDS23003485), refer to letter contained in Appendix F. Uisce Éireann have confirmed the development is feasible without upgrade by Uisce Éireann. Details of the proposed foul sewer system for this site are shown in Hayes Higgins Partnership drawing within Appendix A. Calculations are provided within Appendix D.

#### 5. Water Supply System

There is an existing 200mm diameter water main on Blackglen Road south of the site. Given the site layout two connections from this line will be provided to serve the site. On site looped 100mm diameter HDPE watermain to suit the layout will be installed.

In accordance with requirements air valves and scour valves will be provided around the site as necessary. Hydrants will be provided as directed by the Fire Safety Certificate and Technical Guidance Document B of the Building Regulations 2006. Water saving devices including aerated taps and low water usage appliances will be used in the proposed development in accordance with best practice. The water supply



system has been designed and will be installed in accordance with Uisce Éireann Code of Practice and Standard Details for Water.

The proposed watermain layout and details are shown on Hayes Higgins Partnership drawing within Appendix A.

A Pre-Connection Enquiry form was submitted to Uisce Éireann on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2024 nominating the proposed post-development water demand. A Confirmation of Feasibility letter reflecting same for the development was received from Uisce Éireann on 6<sup>th</sup> of June (Uisce Éireann Ref Number: CDS23003485), refer to letter contained in Appendix F. Uisce Éireann have confirmed the development is feasible without upgrade by Irish Water.

#### 6. Flood Risk Assessment

A stage 1 desktop flood risk assessment was undertaken to identify possible sources of flooding, and the risk posed to the development, and separately the risk posed to surrounding areas because of the development. The site is situated far enough away from the sea not to be subjected to coastal or fluvial flooding. Site is sloping from the north to south and from the west to east.

#### External Sources

The OPW flood mapping website, www.floodmaps.ie has been reviewed.

From the information contained in this report it is evident that the site has not been subjected to flooding during previously reported flooding events. As such it is reasonable to assume there is no risk to the proposed development resulting from flooding off-site.

#### Internal sources

It is intended that all surface water run off generated by the 1in100 year storm will be dealt with via the attenuation tank. An allowance has been made for a 30% increase in runoff due to global warming, as per the "Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study" recommendations.

Due to all these factors the risk of flooding is minimal.

#### 7. Services Design Summary

The proposed Surface water drainage system has been set up to ensure that adequate self-cleansing velocities are obtained, in accordance with the Building Regulations, and to comply fully with the Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works. The SuDS design for the site is in compliance with current guidelines. Similarly, the proposed Foul drainage system has been set up to ensure that adequate



self-cleansing velocities are obtained for partial flows under design loading, in accordance with the Building Regulations and Uisce Éireann Code of Practice and Standard Details for Water & Wastewater.



Appendix A – Proposed Layout Drawings



		DRAW	ING / DO	CUMENT REGIST	ER A	ND IS	SSL	E SHEET										Sheet	No. 1
Devide the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of transmissintered definitinte definition of transmission of trans	Project Project	No. 23D059		Day Month	12			_									-		
	0,001	125 APARTMENTS AT BLACKGLEN ROAD		Year	24														
B       Solver to build on the solver to build on t	Drg No. 01	Drawing / Document Name Proposed Site Layout	Format A1	R.C. Sched. Sheets	P							Drawin	g Revis	sions				<del></del>	
Image: state stat	02	Proposed Drainage Layout	A1		Р														
	03	Proposed Watermain Layout	A1		Р			_										+++	
	04A 04B	Irish Water Foul & Surface Drainage Details - Sheet 1	AI		P													++++	
	04C	Irish Water Foul & Surface Drainage Details - Sheet 3	A1		Р														
	04D	Irish Water Foul & Surface Drainage Details - Sheet 4	A1		P	_													
	05A 05B	Irish Water Watermain Details - Sheet 1 Irish Water Watermain Details - Sheet 2	AI		P													+++	
Distant Note:	05C	Irish Water Watermain Details - Sheet 3	A1		P														
	05D	Irish Water Watermain Details - Sheet 4	A1		Ρ														
	06	Proposed Road Details Proposed Sight Line Layout	A1 A1		P				_									+++	
	08	Proposed Swept Path Analysis	A1		P														
	100	Typical Structural Floor Plan and Details (Apartments)	A1			_													
	102	Typical Retaining Wall Sections Block B & C	A1																
	103	Typical Retaining Wall Sections Block B & C	A1																
			-			_			_									+++	
																		+++	
						_													
					-				_										
	<u> </u>				$\vdash$				+	-		+	$\vdash$		+	+	+	+++	+
							_												
			-			_			_									+++	
																		+++	
Definition       None						_													
																		+++	
Note																			
Image: Sector																		+++	
						_			_									+++	
Image: Subject of the subject of th																			
Barbon       Darbon       None																		++++	
																		+++	
Image:																			
Image: Detribution     Image: Detrib			-			_			_									+++	
Image: Sector 1000 Strategy states       Image: Sector 1000 Strates       Image: Sector 1000 Stra																		+++	
Image: Second																			
Image: Structure in trials       Name       No. of copies       Image: Structure in trials       Name       No. of copies         Image: Structure in trials       Name       No. of copies       Image: Structure in trials       No. of copies         Image: Structure in trials       Name       No. of copies       Image: Structure in trials       No. of copies         Image: Structure in trials       Name       Image: Structure in trials       No. of copies       Image: Structure in trials       Image: Structure																		+++	
Image: Second 1: Second 2: Se																		++++	
Image:     Image: <th></th>																			
Image:     Image: <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>_</th> <th></th>						_													
Image: Distribution     Image: Distribut			_		$\vdash$				-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	+	$\vdash$				+	+	
i     i <th></th>																			
Image: Sector of the sector																			
Initials     Name					$\vdash$		-		+	-	$\vdash$	+		+	+ +	+	+	+	+
Image: Substrain of the sector of the sec																			
Distribution     Initials     Neme     N	<u> </u>				+	+		+	+	-	$\square$	++		+			++	++	
Distribution         Initials         Name         No. of copies           Distribution         Distr			_		$\vdash$				-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	+	$\vdash$				+	+	
Distribution     Initials     Name     Verton     No. of copies       Verton     DLRCC     1     0<																			
Head Office     JFOC Architeds     1 <th1< th="">     1     1     1     &lt;</th1<>	Client	Distribution	Initials	Name DLBCC	1							No.	of copie	es					
Project     Image	Archited	t		JFOC Architects	1														
Head Office     Image: Site     Imag	Project	Manager / Surveyor	+		$\vdash$	+		+	+		+	++		+ $+$ $-$	+	++	++	++	++
Site     Site     Site     Site     Site     Site     Site       Mech./Elec. Engineer     Mech./Elec. Engineer     Image: Site	Main Co	Head Office																	
Structural Engineer     Image: Control of the structural Engineer     Image: Control o	Mech /	Site	+		$\vdash$	+		+	+		+	++		+ $+$ $-$	+	++	++	++	++
Planing Authority     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager <th>Structur</th> <th>al Engineer</th> <th></th>	Structur	al Engineer																	
Bissues For     P     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager     Image: Construction Manager       Image: Construct	Plannin Irish We	g Authority ter			$\vdash$				+	-		+	$\vdash$				$\vdash$	+++	
Sonstruction Manager       Image: Construction Manager       I	Fire Aut	hority																	
ISSUED FOR         P         I	Constru Tank Co	ction Manager	+		$\vdash$	+		+	+		+	++		+ $+$ $-$	+	++	++	++	++
ISSUED FOR         P         I		r,																	
HAYES HIGGINS PARTNERSHIP         Control International Control Internation Control International Control Internatinternat					P	+		+ + +	+		$\vdash$	++	$\vdash$	+	$  + \overline{+}$	+	$+ \overline{+}$	$++\mp$	+ -
Operation         Symbols Key           The Glass House, 11 Coke Lane, Smithield, Dublin 7         Issued For         Document Type           Th O - 661 2321, F, 01 - 662 5804         Preliminary         A         Planning         P         Measurement         M         Prints         Fmail         Fmail <td< th=""><th>HAY</th><th>ES HIGGINS PARTNERSHIP</th><th>CHEDULE</th><th></th><th>LIVI</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	HAY	ES HIGGINS PARTNERSHIP	CHEDULE		LIVI														
T. 01 - 661 2321, F. 01 - 662 5804 Preliminary A Planning P Measurement M Prints PR Fmail FM	The G	ass House, 11 Coke Lane, Smithfield, Dublin 7	Issued For					Symb	ols Ke	/						De	cumen	t Type	
		T. 01 - 661 2321, F. 01 - 662 5804 Preliminary	A Pl	anning P Measurem	ent	м									Pr	ints	PR	Email	EM



NOTES	
<u>General</u> 1.) These drawings to be read in conjunction with al Relevant hayes higgins engineering drawings and specifications. 2.) do not scale. Use figured dimensions only.	ALL
LEGEND PROPOSED BOUNDARY PROPOSED LEVELS	
GORSE LANDS TO REA	
NATURE WALK TO FITZSIMON WOOD	
GORSE LANDS TO REM	
P 12.08.24 ISSUED FOR PLANNING PN REV DATE DESCRIPTION DWG BY APP ISSUED PLANNING	LM APPR. BY
DEVIN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL PROJECT NAME RESIDENTIAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT BLACKGLEN ROAD DRAWING NAME PROPOSED SITE LAYOUT	
PROJECT NO. 230059 DRAWING NO. 01 REVISION PRAVIN DATE DRAWN DATE	
1:500     25.03.24       CAD DRAWN BY     CHECKED BY     APPROVED BY       F.S.     L.M.     D.H.	
The Glass House, 11 Coke Lane Smithfield, Dublin 7. Tel: 01 661232 E-mail: admin@hayeshiggins.ie Gas House Lane, Kilkenny. Tel: (056) 7764710 Email: info@hhp.ie	P

FOUL SEVER DRAINAGE TABLE           NAMPOLE         COCRE LEVEL         INIET         IVAT         INI         PIPE         DA         IMI PIPE         ROM         COUL SEVER DRAINAGE TABLE           111         114         139         139.400         F01-F02         130.mm         1:60         uPVC           F02         112.14         139.190         F02-F03/(BC)         1:00         uPVC	Image: constrained state stat
MATERIAL         MANHOLE         COVE           uPVC         S01         14           uPVC         S03(BC)         14           S07(BC)         13         13           EX.SMH7         13         13           S03(BC)         14         14           S03(BC)         14         14	SORRUB STITUNIOURUAR TFALL
STORM WATER DI           2 LEVEL         INVERT LEVEL         MH -           .975         139.697         S01-5           2.151         139.532         S02-50           1.536         136.611         S03(BC)           .536         136.414         S05-5           .404         136.221         -           .440         136.221         -           .440         134.048         S07(BC)           .403         139.499         S09-5           .403         139.172         S11-50           .536         139.111         -	Production of the second secon
RAINAGE TABLE           MH         PIPE DIA.         MN. PIPE GRAD.         MATERIAL           S02         225mm         1:200         uPVC           3(BC)         225mm         1:200         uPVC           >S04         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S05         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S06         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S08         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S08         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S08         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S10         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S11         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S10         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S11         225mm         1:200         uPVC           S10         225mm         1:200         uPVC	102.00 102.00

16. ALL UNITS TO HAVE FOUL INSPECTION CHAMBER AND CONNECTION TO MAIN FOUL LINE AND SURFACE WATER SYSTEM.

17. ALLOW FOR 30 No. ROAD GULLIES AND CONNECTION TO SURFACE LINE.

C HAYES HIGGINS PARTNERSHIP

A1

S19(BC)	S18	S17	S16(BC)	S16(BC)	S15	S14	S13	ATT	ATT	S12	MANHOLE		
132.050	132.293	132.647	134.152	134.152	135.242	136.362	136.650	136.500	136.500	136.020	COVER LEVEL	STO]	
131.025	131.077	131.093	131.122	132.850	132.974	133.065	133.081	133.088	133.288	133.295	INVERT LEVEL	RM WAT	
I	S18-S19(BC)	S17–S18	S16(BC)-S17	I	S15-S16(BC)	S14–S15	S13-S14	ATT-S13	I	S12-ATT	MH – MH	ER DRAIN	
I	225mm	225mm	225mm	I	225mm	225mm	225mm	225mm	I	225mm	PIPE DIA.	AGE TA	
I	1:200	1:200	1:200	I	1:200	1:200	1:200	1:200	I	1:200	MIN. PIPE GRAD.	ABLE	
I	uPVC	uPVC	uPVC	I	uPVC	uPVC	uPVC	uPVC	I	uPVC	MATERIAL		





NOTES

# DRAINAGE LEGEND

EX.SMH ○ S02 ○ F02 ○ SIC ● EXISTING SURFACE WATER SEWER EXISTING FOUL SEWER

PROPOSED SURFACE WATER SEWER (UPVC)
 PROPOSED FOUL SEWER (UPVC)
 PERFORATED 225mm PIPE (UPVC)
 EXISTING SURFACE WATER MANHOLE
 PROPOSED SURFACE WATER MANHOLE

PROPOSED FOUL MANHOLE

EXISTING HEADWELL

PROPOSED SURFACE WATER GULLY PROPOSED SURFACE INSPECTION CHAMBER PROPOSED FOUL INSPECTION CHAMBER PROPOSED LEVELS

P P	GI HI Lane 6123 65123 ns.ie	ES HIG TNERS 2, 11 Coke I 7. Tel: 01 6 hayeshiggin hayeshiggin	HAYI PAR Glass House eld, Dublin ( ail: admin@	The of the first the first terms of terms	Sm
	D.H.	<u> </u>		F.S.	
	ed by	APPROV	CHECKED BY	awn by	CAD DR
	.24	27.03	500		
		DRAWN DATE			SCALE
			)2	G No.	DRAWIN
		059	23D		PROJEC
			T		LA
		AINAGE	DSED DR		P R
		DEVELOPMENT	IAL HOUSING D GLEN ROAD	t name IDENT BLACK	PROJEC RES AT I
		Y COUNCIL	HAIRE COUNT	I LAOC	client DÚN
			NING	ANN	ISSUED PL
APPR. BY	DWG BY	PTION	DESCRI	DATE	REV
F	PN	PLANNING	ISSUED FOR	12.08.24	σ

#### 13. ALL PROPOSED SEWERS SHALL BE CLEANED, CCTV SURVEYED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DÚN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S "REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CONNECTION TO PUBLIC SEWERS". DLCC SHALL BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO WITNESS THE TESTING. DRAINAGE 12. ALL ROAD GULLIES AND MANHOLES COVERS TO EN 124 D400 IN ROADS AND B125 IN ALL OTHER PAVED AREAS, FOOTWAYS AND LANDSCAPED AREAS. 11. ROAD GULLIES TO BE PROVIDED ALONG THE CARRIAGEWAY AT APPROPRIATE INTERVALS TO BE DESIGNED BY THE CONTRACTORS DESIGN TEAM. 10. ALL ABANDONED PIPE RUNS AND MANHOLES TO BE BROKEN OUT AND BACKFILLED WITH 15/20N LEAN MIX CONCRETE. œ 7. GENERAL 14. THE CONTRACTOR ON COMPLETION SHALL PROVIDE AS CONSTRUCTED DRAWINGS OF INSTALLED DRAINAGE GIVING DETAILS OF TESTING RESULTS AND RE-TESTING IF NECESSARY. 9. 6. Ģ ų Ņ 15. CONTRACTOR TO REFER TO SERVICE/UTILITY PROVIDER FOR FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS & DETAILS ON COVER & SEPARATION DISTANCES TO SERVICES. 4. .**∸** 7. THE SURFACE/STORM WATER DRAINAGE TO CONSIST OF A SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE (SUDS) TREATMENT MANAGEMENT TRAIN APPROACH, REFER TO THE CIRIA SUDS MANUAL & WWW.IRISHSUDS.COM. THE CONTRACTORS DESIGN TEAM TO FORWARD THE SUDS TREATMENT STRATEGY FOR APPROVAL TO DÚN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL WATER SERVICES DEPARTMENT. LADDERS ARE REQUIRED IN MANHOLES WHERE DEPTH FROM COVER LEVEL EXCEEDS 2.5m. CLASS E BEDDING TO ALL PIPES WITH COVER GREATER THAN 1.2m UNDER ROAD & 0.9m UNDER OTHER AREAS ALL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE TO BE DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRISH WATERS REQUIREMENTS & THEIR STANDARD DETAILS JULY 2020 (REVISION 2); IW-CDS-5030-01. DO NOT SCALE. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY. THESE DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT HAYES HIGGINS ENGINEERING DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. ALL DRAINAGE WORK TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH "DÚN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2022-2028". ALL DRAINAGE WORKS ARE TO BE DESIGNED TO DÚN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL TAKING IN CHARGE STANDARDS. PLEASE CONSULT WITH WCC WATER SERVICES DEPARTMENT. ALL DRAINAGE CONNECTIONS FROM BUILDINGS TO CONFORM TO THE BUILDING REGULATIONS 2010, PART H.



EE WALK TO MON WOOD	SE LANDS TO REMAIN	LEGEND         PROPOSED 100mm ø         HDPE WATERMAIN         SV & NEW SLUICE VALVE         H       NEW SLUICE VALVE         M       BULK METER         BM       BULK METER         Sc.V. O       SCOUR VALVE IN ACCORDANCE         M       ONLINE AIR VALVE IN ACCORDANCE         M       ONLINE AIR VALVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 3.16.4 OF PRACTICE         M       ONLINE AIR VALVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 3.16.6 OF I.W CODE OF PRACTICE         M       ONLINE AIR VALVE IN CODE OF PRACTICE         M       ONLINE AIR VALVE IN CODE OF PRACTICE         M       ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 3.16.6 OF I.W CODE OF PRACTICE
P     12.08.24     ISSUED FOR PLANNING     PN     LM       P     12.08.24     ISSUED FOR PLANNING     PN     LM       REV     DATE     DESCRIPTION     DWG BY     PN     LM       ISSUED     PL     ANNING     DWG BY     PN     BW       PL     ANNING     DWG BY     DWG BY     PN     EM       PL     ANNING     DWG BY     DWG BY     PN     BW       PL     ANNING     DWG BY     DWG BY     PN     BK       PL     ANNING     DWG BY     DWG BY     PN     BK       PSUENTIAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT     AT BLACKGLEN ROAD     AT BLACKGLEN ROAD	<ol> <li>3. WATERMAIN PIPES SHOULD HAVE A MINIMUM NOMINAL PRESSURE CLASSIFICATION OF 10 BAR. MOPVC PRESSURE PIPES SHALL CONFORM TO UK WATER INDUSTRY SPECIFICATION NO 4–31–08 OR EQUIVALENT. MANUFACTURERS SHALL OPERATE A QUALITY SYSTEM IN COMPLANCE WITH BS 5750 PART 2 (EN23002).</li> <li>4. WATERMAIN PIPES SHOULD HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OF 900mm. PROPOSED WATERMAIN IN THE FOOTPATH TO BE 750mm FROM KERB (ACCORDING TO IRISH WATER STANDARD DETAILS: STD-W-11)</li> <li>5. AN APPROVED MARKER TAPE CONTAINING A TRACER WIRE SHOULD BE AFFIXED TO THE TOP SURFACE OF ALL WATERMAINS AT DEAD ENDS, TEES, BENDS OF CURVATURE GREATER THAN 22 ½ AND AT BOTH SIDES OF A SLUCE VALVE CHAMBER. ANCHOR BLOCKS SHOULD BE PROVIDED ON WATERMAINS AT DEAD ENDS, TEES, BENDS OF CURVATURE GREATER THAN 22 ½ AND AT BOTH SIDES OF A SLUCE VALVE CHAMBER. ANCHOR BLOCKS SHOULD ENCASE THE PIPE IN CONCRETE (CLASS E, CLAUSE 1502. SPECIFICATION FOR RADORORKS) TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 150mm ALL ROUND AND SHOULD BE A MINIMUM LENGTH OF TRE ANULO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF BS 5163. THE DEPTH OF THE SLUCE VALVE SPINDLE CAP BELOW FINISHED GROUND LEVEL SHOULD NOT EXCEED 300mm</li> <li>SCOUR VALVES SHOULD BE OF THE MALE THREAD SCREW DOWN TYPE IN COMPLANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF BS 750. HYDRANT OUTLET BELOW FINISHED GROUND LEVEL SHOULD NOT EXCEED 200mm</li> <li>SCOUR VALVES WITH WICKLEW CONTRACTOR TO AGREE SPECIFICATION FOR VALVES WITH WICKLOW COUNTY COUNCIL.</li> <li>SCOUR VALVES WITH WICKLOW COUNTY COUNCIL.</li> <li>NOT RACTOR TO ALLOW FOR ROAD OPENING UP LICENCE FOR WORKS IN PUBLIC ROAD, WHERE NECESSARY.</li> <li>MATERMAN CONNECTION TO BE MADE FROM EACH HOUSE DIRECTLY WITH WATER METER.</li> </ol>	NOTES         SENERAL         In these drawings to be read in conjunction with all relevant haves higgins engineering drawings and specifications.         2. DO NOT SCALE. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.         WATERMAINS AND SUPPLY SHOULD BE CONSTRUCTED TO IRISH WATER REQUIREMENTS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRISH WATER REQUIREMENTS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRISH WATER REQUIREMENTS AND DETAILS WATER INFRASTRUCTURE STANDARD DETAILS WATER INFRASTRUCTURE STANDARD DETAILS WATER SO20-03 JULY 2020.         2. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD CONFIRM THE LOCATIONS OF ALL EXISTING WATERMAIN INFRASTRUCTURE ON SITE AND REPORT TO THE ENGINEER.

P 12.08.24 ISSUED FOR PLANNING PN LM
PLANNING
CLIENT DÚN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
project name RESIDENTIAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT BLACKGLEN ROAD
drawing name PROPOSED WATERMAIN LAYOUT
PROJECT No. 230059
DRAWING No.
scale   drawn date   25.03.24
CAD DRAWN BY CHECKED BY APPROVED BY F.S. L.M. D.H.
The Glass House, 11 Coke Lane Smithfield, Dublin 7. Tel: 01 6612321 E-mail: admin@hayeshiggins.ie Gas House Lane, Kilkenny. Tel: (056) 7764710 Email: info@htp.ie



		GORSE LANDS TO REM,	NATURE WALK TO FITZSIMON WOOD	G GORSE LANDS TO REA	
PROJECT No. 23D059 DRAWING NO. DRAWING NO. CAD DRAWN BY F.S. F.S. CAD DRAWN BY F.S. CAD DRAWN BY F.S. CHECKED BY CHECKED BY CHECKED BY PROVID BY CAPPROVED BY P.S. CHECKED BY PROVID DATE 1:500 CAPPROVED BY P.S. CHECKED BY PROVID BY P.S. CHECKED BY PROVID DATE D.H. D.H. D.H. D.H. D.H. D.H. D.H. The Glass House, 11 Coke Lane Smithfield, Dublin 7. Tel: 01 6612321 E-mail: admin@hayeshiggins.ie Gas House Lane, Kilkeny. Tel: (056) 7764710 Emoil: info@htp:e	REV     DATE     DESCRIPTION     DWG     BY APPR. BY       ISSUED     PLANNING     PLANNING     PROVING UNING DEVELOPMENT       PROJECT NAME     RESIDENTIAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT       AT BLACKGLEN ROAD       PROPOSED       PROPOSED       SIGHT LINE LAYOUT	P 12.08.24 ISSUED FOR PLANNING PN LM		Image: Proper law of the state of the	<b>SENERAL</b> 1.) THESE DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT HAYES HIGGINS ENGINEERING DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. 2.) DO NOT SCALE. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.



		GORSE LANDS TO REM,	NATURE WALK TO	G GORSE LANDS TO REN		ς
PROJECT No. 23D059 DRAMING No. 23D059 PRAMING NO. PRAMING NO. SCALE 1:500 PRAMIN BY F.S. CAD DRAMN BY F.S. CAD DRAMN BY F.S. CAD DRAMN BY F.S. CHECKED BY APPROVED BY F.S. L.M. D.H. D.H. D.H. The Glass House, 11 Coke Lane Smithfield, Dublin 7. Tel: 01 6612321 E-mail: admin@hayeshiggins.ie Gos House Lane, Kilkenny. Tel: (056) 7764710 Emcli: info@htp.is	P     12.08.24     ISSUED FOR PLANNING     PN     LM       REV     DATE     DESCRIPTION     DWG BY     APPR. BY       PLANNING     PROLECT NAME     PROJECT NAME       PROJECT NAME     125 APARTMENTS AT BLACKGLEN ROAD       PROPOSED     SWEPT       PROPOSED     SWEPT       PATH     ANALYSIS				7.9         1.5       4.4         1.5       4.4         Overall Body Cround Clearance Track Width Lock-to-lock time Curb to Curb Turning Radius       7.900m 3.300m 3.300m 0.140m 4.00s 7.750m	<u>General</u> 1.) These drawings to be read in conjunction with all Relevant hayes higgins engineering drawings and specifications. 2.) do not scale. Use figured dimensions only.

Appendix B – Site Survey









RURAL/NATURAL FE	EATURES :
BUSH SAPLING	
TREE	$\{\cdot\} \bigcirc$
HEDGE TROUGH CATTLE GRID	TR GRID
LINEWORK:	
EMBANKEMENT TOP DRAIN BREAKLINE BUILDING KERB BOTTOM WALL PATH/CHANGE SURFACE O/HEAD ELECTRICITY O/HEAD TELECOM	101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 0E 0T





HEDGE TROUGH CATTLE GRID	TR GRI
LINEWORK:	
EMBANKEMENT TOP DRAIN BREAKLINE BUILDING KERB BOTTOM	101 101 101 101 101 101
WALL PATH/CHANGE SURFACE	<u>101</u> 101









#### PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH

Drafted from utility records QL-D

SITE RECONNAISSANCE Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture QL-C or evidence of previous streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars

DETECTION	
QL-B4	A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been detected by a geophysical technique
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by multiple geophysical techniques
VERIFICATION	

QL-A Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility

#### Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the

- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high
- resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may be a result of areas with high conductivity. Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a
- service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will
- mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable
- Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except
- where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system. Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend.
- Accuracy estimates: Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground
- conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local
- magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target
- Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
- Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records. Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
- We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would
- be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants. Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services.
- Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested. Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard

heavy duty apparatus. All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

CLIENT:

# Hayes Higgins

#### PROJECT:

# Blackglen Road, Dun Laoghaire

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/200 A1	DATE : 07/06/2024
		C007	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO.	0337	SURVEYED BY : K.K. & M.R.
Original Drawing		1 of 7	PROCESSED BY : A.B.
	SHEET:		CHECKED BY : A.B.



![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

DRG No: 6337

SURVEYED BY : K.K. & M.R. PROCESSED BY : A.B. SHEET: 2 of 7 CHECKED BY : A.B.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

UTO

UTT

+ TOW101.5 SOR

UNDERGROUND LEGEND : WATER MAIN GAS MAIN STORM DRAIN FOUL SEWER COMBINED SEWER ELECTRIC CABLE ELECTRIC LIGHTING EIRCOM FIBRE OPTIC CABLE BROADBAND CABLE TV TRAFFIC AND SIGNAL CABLE CCTV **IRRIGATION PIPE** EMPTY DUCT GPR ANOMALY UNKNOWN CABLE **O/HEAD ELECTRICITY** O/HEAD TELECOM

EMPTY

ANOMALY

\_\_\_\_\_ OE \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ то \_\_\_\_\_

SHEET LAYOUT

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_8.jpeg)

- Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to locate. This difficulty increases with depth.
- Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may be a result of areas with high conductivity.
- Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also,
- high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable.
- Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system.
- Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. Accuracy estimates:
- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
- Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records.
- Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
- We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and
- this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants. Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services.
- Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested. Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard

heavy duty apparatus. All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

CLIENT:

# Hayes Higgins

#### PROJECT:

# Blackglen Road, Dun Laoghaire

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/200 A1	DATE : 07/06/2024
	DRG No:	C007	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities
Description		6337	SURVEYED BY : K.K. & M.R.
Original Drawing		2 of 7	PROCESSED BY : A.B.
		3 01 7	CHECKED BY : A.B.

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

	PAS 128: 20	014 (Quality of	Survey Level Outputs):					
NI	DESKTOP UTILITY QL-D D	RECORDS SEARCH	ds					
IN	SITE RECONNAISS QL-C L	SANCE ocation Demonstrated	by visual reference to street furniture					
	DETECTION QL-B4 A	segment of utility susp	ected to exist but has not been					
	QL-B3 H	etected by a geophysic lorizontal location only	al technique of the utility detected by one of the					
	QL-B2 H	eopnysical techniques lorizontal and vertical lo f the geophysical techn	used ocation of the utility detected by one iques used					
	QL-B1 H	lorizontal and vertical lo nultiple geophysical tec	ocation of the utility detected by hniques					
	VERIFICATION QL-A H	lorizontal and vertical lo	ocation of the top and/or bottom of the utility					
	Apex Survey	/s Ltd. Disclain	ner - Utility Survey					
	The interpretative r methods must be ta	nature and the non-intru ken into account when	usive, indirect and non-destructive survey considering the results of the surveys.					
-	present the data, gir structures will be lo	ves no guarantees that cated and mapped. Fur	all underground utilities and underground thermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee					
	the accuracy of the Apex Survey shall r arise due to the limi	utility depths annotated not be liable for any omi- tations of the service.	d on the survey drawings. issions or inaccuracies in the survey which to liability shall attach to Anex Surveys in					
I	any circumstances, damages suffered b	howsoever arising, in r by the Client.	espect of any consequential loss or					
	The following is a	non-exhaustive list of	the limitations of utility surveys:					
	with respect to pipe surveying is limited	size, material type and by the following guideli	I drainage connectivity. However utility nes and it may not be possible to accurately					
	survey, define and l · Depth of Utility: T degree with which	locate all services and s The depth and size of a In a utility can be located	sub-surface features. utility affect the signal response and the I.					
	Due to attenuatio making identificat	n of the radar signal wit ion of utilities more diffi	h depth, resolution is restricted, hence cult with increasing depth.					
	Size of Utility: T locate. This difficu	he smaller the diamete ulty increases with dept	r of a utility the more difficult it is to h. ation and quality of the data depends					
	on the ground con resistivity materia	nditions of the site. GPF I. Clay overburden can	R Surveying works best within high impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may					
	be a result of area Utility Congestion service corridor	as with high conductivit : Where different u or cross paths it becom	y. utilities converge together into a nes difficult to isolate a specific utility					
5	and to map its r multiple utilities.	oute. The reflected sign Therefore multiple utili	hal will display a single response to ties may appear to be a single utility.					
	Where similar so Signal Jumping:	ervices run on close pro Signal from surroundi	oximity, separation may be impossible. ng services may 'jump' to a highly					
	· Shadowing:	asking its true identity. (of deeper utilities by s	shallower objects) Shallow utilities will					
	high reflective ma	aterials close to the surf ons: The GPR syste	ace i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. m relies on a relatively flat and even					
	surface on which vehicles, organic	to perform radar passe material (long grass, so	s. If ground obstructions such as crub) or undulating ground surface are					
	present then the a not viable.	acquired data will be of	lower resolution and in some cases					
	· Connections betw	vice. vicen manholes: Con	nections between manhole chambers					
	are assumed to b	e straight. cts: Nonmetallic object	is are amongst the most difficult to					
	trace therefore su Fiber Optic Cable	accessful tracing of non s: Fiber optic cables	-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. may not be possible to locate except					
	where laid with a <ul> <li>Defective / floode</li> </ul>	built in tracer wire or sind d manholes or pipewor	milar conductor system. k: It may not be possible to					
	Acute bends in pi	pework: It may not be p	possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend.					
	Accuracy estimat · Locational accura for the detector us	es: icy is determined by ref sed	erring to the manufacturers guidelines					
	<ul> <li>In ideal conditions</li> <li>+/- 5% for Radiod</li> </ul>	s the spatial accuracies letection and +/- 10% o	for the underground utilities may be f depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep.					
	However variation proximity of other	ns within the subsurface services and local mag	e, depth below the ground, close gnetic, atmospheric or ground					
	listed in this discla	aimer may alter this est of + or - 150mm may be	imated accuracy.					
	on the depth of se subsurface, depth	ervice below ground lev n below the ground, clo	rel. However variations within the subsurface se proximity of other services and local					
	magnetic, atmosp and any of the oth accuracy.	pheric or ground conditioner limitations listed in t	ons, bends, lateral service connections his disclaimer may alter this estimated					
	DP represents dis     Where technically	stance from the surface	level to the top of the service/ target tions will be given. These along with					
	plan positions sho is required these	ould be used for guidan should be confirmed by	ce only and wherever critical accuracy the client by undertaking trial					
	Record Drawing Info	ormation						
	<ul> <li>Services which has or available. Thes</li> </ul>	ave been untraceable a se lines are annotated a formation abouting und	re shown from records where possible as "Taken From Records" or "From Records".					
	and with unknow	Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.     Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this about the section services that						
	with all available Apex Surveys end	public or private utility r deavor to add relevant	ecords. Public Utility record information onto					
	the final drawing. with the asset ow	However, we would rea ner or statutory underta	commend that direct contact is made aker.					
	<ul> <li>We shall not be h of a service, as is Records" on the c</li> </ul>	eld responsible for the sued by the utility provi drawing.	accuracy, or otherwise, of the location der and therefore shown as "Taken for					
	The following have I	been excluded from the	e Survey:					
	be required into e this would signific	each property to apply d antly increase the scop	lirect connections to inlet points and be of works, survey cost and also					
	cause possible di Pot ended or disc	sruption to occupants. connected cables or terr	ninated short lengths of pipe.					
	Internal building s     Small diameter ca     diameter.	ables less than 20mm d	iameter or pipes less than 40mm					
	Above ground served Lifting manholes	rvices unless specificall which require longer that	y requested. an 10 minutes effort using standard					
	All works carried out	atus. be Apex Surveys confo	orms to the guidelines set out by The					
CLIENT:	Survey Association (	י סא) and PAS:128 Sta	JECT:					
		Blackale	en Road.					
ayes Higgins		Dun La	oghaire					
			~					
sh Transverse Mercator alin Head (OSGM15)		1/200 44						
awing Contains Scale Factor		1/200 A I	UTIL. U1/U0/2024					
	DRG No:	6337	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities					
scription			SURVEYED BY : K.K. & M.R.					

PROCESSED BY : A.B.

CHECKED BY : A.B.

SHEET: 4 of 7

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

	PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of	Survey Level Outputs):
	DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH QL-D Drafted from utility record	ds
	SITE RECONNAISSANCE QL-C Location Demonstrated b	by visual reference to street furniture
+ <sup>137.50</sup>	DETECTION	ected to exist but has not been
	QL-B3 A segment of utility susp detected by a geophysic Horizontal location only of	al technique of the utility detected by one of the
	QL-B2 geophysical techniques of the geophysical techniques of techniques o	cation of the utility detected by one iques used
	QL-B1 Horizontal and vertical lo multiple geophysical tech	cation of the utility detected by nniques
ANDMALY(24,639) 7207	QL-A Horizontal and vertical lo	ocation of the top and/or bottom of the utility
	Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaim	ner - Utility Survey
+137.76	methods must be taken into account when Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appro	considering the results of the surveys. ppriate practice to execute, interpret and
	structures will be located and mapped. Furl the accuracy of the utility depths annotated	thermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee on the survey drawings.
	Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omin arise due to the limitations of the service. N any circumstances, howsoever arising, in re	ssions or inaccuracies in the survey which lo liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in espect of any consequential loss or
+137.19	damages suffered by the Client. The following is a non-exhaustive list of	the limitations of utility surveys:
	The Survey aims to map existing utilities su with respect to pipe size, material type and	ubsurface utilities and provide information drainage connectivity. However utility
+ <sup>137.73</sup>	surveying is limited by the following guidelin survey, define and locate all services and s Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a	nes and it may not be possible to accurately sub-surface features. utility affect the signal response and the
	degree with which a utility can be located Due to attenuation of the radar signal with making identification of utilities more diffic	h depth, resolution is restricted, hence cult with increasing depth.
	Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter locate. This difficulty increases with depth	of a utility the more difficult it is to
	<ul> <li>Ground Conditions: The depth penetra on the ground conditions of the site. GPR resistivity material. Clay overburden can</li> </ul>	tion and quality of the data depends Surveying works best within high impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may
+137.17	be a result of areas with high conductivity Utility Congestion: Where different u service corridor or cross paths it becom	y. tilities converge together into a les difficult to isolate a specific utility
	and to map it is route. The reflected sign multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilit	al will display a single response to ies may appear to be a single utility.
	Where similar services run on close pro     Signal Jumping: Signal from surroundir     conductive line masking its true identity	ximity, separation may be impossible. ng services may 'jump' to a highly
	Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by s     mask the existence of deeper utilities who	hallower objects) Shallow utilities will ere they are in close proximity. Also,
	high reflective materials close to the surface Obstructions: The GPR system	ace i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. n relies on a relatively flat and even
+ <sup>137.27</sup>	surrace on which to perform radar passes vehicles, organic material (long grass, sc present then the acquired data will be of	s. II ground obstructions such as rub) or undulating ground surface are lower resolution and in some cases
	not viable. Loss of signal: It is not always possible	to trace the entire length of each
	Underground service.     Connections between manholes: Connections between manholes: Connections between manholes: Connections are assumed to be straight	nections between manhole chambers
	Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects     trace therefore successful tracing of non-	s are amongst the most difficult to metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited.
	<ul> <li>Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables r where laid with a built in tracer wire or sir</li> </ul>	nay not be possible to locate except nilar conductor system.
~ 1	Defective / flooded manholes or pipework establish connections between flooded o     Acute bands in pipowork. It may not be	c: It may not be possible to r defective manholes or pipework. cossible to trace a pipe past on equite hand.
+135.86	Accuracy estimates:	erring to the manufacturers quidelines
	for the detector used.  In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies	for the underground utilities may be
	+/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of However variations within the subsurface proximity of other services and local mag	depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. , depth below the ground, close netic, atmospheric or around
POWER(QL, E0) DP0 48 MOR 49) DP0 46 DP1 15 TELECOARDL #0 MOR 49) DP0 46 DP1 15 TELECOARDL #0 MOR 49) MOR 49) MOR 49	conditions, bends, lateral service connec listed in this disclaimer may alter this esti	tions and any of the other limitations mated accuracy.
EIRCOMS1 220mm 04/0 CLUD 46 4 BOD134.46 CLUB 27 EICT135.27	<ul> <li>Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be on the depth of service below ground leve subsurface, depth below the ground, close</li> </ul>	achieved but this figure will depend el. However variations within the subsurface se proximity of other services and local
8	magnetic, atmospheric or ground condition and any of the other limitations listed in the	ons, bends, lateral service connections his disclaimer may alter this estimated
NGGA NGGA NGGA NGGA NGGA NGGA NGGA NGGA	DP represents distance from the surface     Where technically possible, depth indicat	level to the top of the service/ target
000-PM PAPE 000-PM PAPE 1,132.75	plan positions should be used for guidant is required these should be confirmed by	ce only and wherever critical accuracy the client by undertaking trial
	excavations or similar. Record Drawing Information	
	<ul> <li>Services which have been untraceable at or available. These lines are annotated a</li> <li>Existing record information showing under</li> </ul>	re shown from records where possible s "Taken From Records" or "From Records". arground services is often incomplete
	and with unknown accuracies therefore it · Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawi	t should be regarded as indicative only. ng, this should be read in conjunction
135.50 +135.33 +135.21 +135.14 135.	with all available public or private utility re Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant F	ecords. Public Utility record information onto
Rise 14	with the asset owner or statutory underta We shall not be held responsible for the a	ker. accuracy, or otherwise, of the location
	of a service, as issued by the utility provid Records" on the drawing.	der and therefore shown as "Taken for
	The following have been excluded from the Location of individual service feeds to pro	survey: operties or buildings as access would
	this would significantly increase the scop cause possible disruption to occupants.	e of works, survey cost and also
	Pot ended or disconnected cables or term Internal building services.	ninated short lengths of pipe.
	<ul> <li>Small diameter cables less than 20mm di diameter.</li> <li>Above ground services unless specifically</li> </ul>	ameter or pipes less than 40mm y requested.
	Lifting manholes which require longer that heavy duty apparatus.	n 10 minutes effort using standard
	All works carried out be Apex Surveys confo Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Sta	orms to the guidelines set out by The ndard for utility mapping
CLIENT:	PROJ	
layes Higgins	Blackgle	en Road,
	Dun La	ognaire
rish Transverse Mercator		
Alin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE : 1/200 A1	DATE : 07/06/2024
	DRG No: 6337	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities
		SURVEYED BY : K.K. & M.R.
		PROCESSED BY : A.B.

SHEET:

5 of 7

CHECKED BY : A.B.

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

	PAS 128: 2	2014 (Quality of	Survey Level Outputs):		
N	DESKTOP UTILIT	TY RECORDS SEARCH Drafted from utility record	ds		
	QL-C	SSANCE Location Demonstrated b or evidence of previous s	by visual reference to street furniture streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars		
	DETECTION QL-B4 QL-B3	A segment of utility susp detected by a geophysic Horizontal location only geophysical techniques	ected to exist but has not been al technique of the utility detected by one of the used		
	QL-B2 QL-B1	of the geophysical techn Horizontal and vertical lo multiple geophysical tech	iques used boation of the utility detected by nniques		
	QL-A	Horizontal and vertical lo	ocation of the top and/or bottom of the utility		
	VERIFICATION QL-A Apex Surve The interpretative methods must be Therefore Apex S present the data, structures will be the accuracy of th Apex Survey sha arise due to the li any circumstance damages suffered The following is The Survey aims with respect to pi survey, define an • Depth of Utility: degree with wh Due to attenuat making identific • Size of Utility: locate. This diff • Ground Conditi on the ground of resistivity mater be a result of a • Utility Congestis service corrid and to map its multiple utilities Where similar • Signal Jumping conductive line • Shadowing: mask the existen high reflective r • Surface Obstru surface on whic vehicles, organ present then th not viable. • Loss of signal: underground se • Connections be are assumed to • Non-metallic ob trace therefore • Fiber Optic Cat where laid with • Defective / floor establish conne • Acute bends in Accuracy estim • Locational accu for the detector	Horizontal and vertical located and mapped. Fur every services no guarantees that located and mapped. Fur e utility depths annotated linot be liable for any omi mitations of the service. Notes, howsoever arising, in re- d by the Client. a non-exhaustive list of to map existing utilities sup esize, material type and do by the following guideling d locate all services and se The depth and size of a to a utility can be located to nof the radar signal with attion of utilities more diffi- the smaller the diameter iculty increases with depth ons: The depth penetra conditions of the site. GPF rial. Clay overburden can reas with high conductivity or or cross paths it becomes services run on close pro- service. The reflected sign . Therefore multiple utilities by se ence of deeper utilities by se is materials close to the surfac- tions between flooded of pipework: It may not be per- ates: iracy is determined by refu- used. ons within the subsurface er services and local mage of a services and local mage	Action of the top and/or bottom of the utility <b>ner - Utility Survey</b> resive, indirect and non-destructive survey considering the results of the surveys. Appriate practice to execute, interpret and all underground utilities and underground thermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee I on the survey drawings. I ssions or inaccuracies in the survey which to liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in espect of any consequential loss or I the limitations of utility surveys: ubsurface utilities and provide information drainage connectivity. However utility nes and it may not be possible to accurately sub-surface features. utility affect the signal response and the th depth, resolution is restricted, hence cult with increasing depth. r of a utility the more difficult it is to h. tion and quality of the data depends & Surveying works best within high impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may y. tiltites converge together into a nes difficult to isolate a specific utility all will display a single response to ties may appear to be a single utility. painty, separation may be impossible. Ing services may 'jump' to a highly shallower objects) Shallow utilities will ere they are in close proximity. Also, ace i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. In relies on a relatively flat and even s. If ground obstructions such as rub) or undulating ground surface are lower resolution and in some cases a to trace the entire length of each nections between manhole chambers s are amongst the most difficult to remetallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. may not be possible to r defective manholes or pipework. possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. erring to the manufacturers guidelines for the underground utilities may be f depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. e, depth below the ground, close netic, atmospheric or ground		
	<ul> <li>conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.</li> <li>Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.</li> <li>DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target</li> <li>Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Record Drawing Information</li> <li>Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records".</li> <li>Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.</li> <li>Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records.</li> <li>Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.</li> <li>We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>The following hav</li> <li>Location of indible required into this would signic cause possible</li> <li>Pot ended or di</li> <li>Internal building</li> <li>Small diameter diameter.</li> <li>Above ground s</li> <li>Lifting manhole heavy duty app</li> <li>All works carried of Survey Association</li> </ul>	e been excluded from the vidual service feeds to pro be each property to apply d ficantly increase the scop disruption to occupants. sconnected cables or terr services. cables less than 20mm d services unless specifically s which require longer that aratus.	survey: operties or buildings as access would irect connections to inlet points and e of works, survey cost and also ninated short lengths of pipe. iameter or pipes less than 40mm y requested. an 10 minutes effort using standard prms to the guidelines set out by The ndard for utility mapping		
CLIENT:		PRO	JECT:		
ayes Higgins		Blackgle Dun La	en Road, loghaire		
n Transverse Mercator					
שופט שווים שו	SCALE :	1/200 A1	DATE : 07/06/2024		
	DRG No:	6337	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities		
cription	1				

PROCESSED BY : A.B.

CHECKED BY : A.B.

SHEET: 7 of 7

# Appendix C – Surface Water Calculations (Attenuation, MET Eireann rainfall)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Met Eireann Return Period Rainfall Depths for sliding Durations Irish Grid: Easting: 317880, Northing: 225413,

	Inte	rval						Years								
DURATION	6months,	lyear,	2,	З,	4,	5,	10,	20,	30,	50,	75 <b>,</b>	100,	150,	200,	250,	500,
5 mins	2.6,	3.9,	4.6,	5.6,	6.3,	6.9,	8.8,	11.0,	12.5,	14.6,	16.5,	18.0,	20.3,	22.2,	23.7,	N/A ,
10 mins	3.7,	5.4,	6.4,	7.8,	8.8,	9.6,	12.2,	15.3,	17.4,	20.3,	23.0,	25.1,	28.3,	30.9,	33.0,	N/A ,
15 mins	4.3,	6.3,	7.5,	9.2,	10.4,	11.3,	14.4,	18.0,	20.4,	23.9,	27.0,	29.5,	33.3,	36.3,	38.8,	N/A ,
30 mins	5.7,	8.3,	9.7,	11.9,	13.4,	14.5,	18.4,	22.9,	25.9,	30.2,	34.0,	37.0,	41.7,	45.4,	48.5,	N/A ,
1 hours	7.5,	10.8,	12.6,	15.3,	17.2,	18.7,	23.5,	29.1,	32.8,	38.1,	42.8,	46.5,	52.3,	56.8,	60.5,	N/A ,
2 hours	9.8,	14.0,	16.3,	19.8,	22.1,	24.0,	30.0,	36.9,	41.5,	48.1,	53.9,	58.4,	65.5,	71.0,	75.5 <b>,</b>	N/A ,
3 hours	11.5,	16.3,	19.0,	22.9,	25.6,	27.7,	34.6,	42.5,	47.7,	55.1,	61.7,	66.8,	74.7,	80.9,	86.0,	N/A ,
4 hours	12.9,	18.2,	21.1,	25.5,	28.5,	30.8,	38.3,	46.9,	52.6,	60.7,	67.8,	73.4,	82.0,	88.7,	94.3,	N/A ,
6 hours	15.1,	21.3,	24.6,	29.6,	33.0,	35.6,	44.2,	54.0,	60.4,	69.5,	77.6,	83.9,	93.6,	101.1,	107.3,	N/A ,
9 hours	17.7,	24.8,	28.6,	34.4,	38.2,	41.2,	51.0,	62.1,	69.4,	79.7,	88.8,	95.9,	106.7,	115.2,	122.2,	N/A ,
12 hours	19.8,	27.7,	31.9,	38.2,	42.5,	45.8,	56.5,	68.6,	76.6,	87.8,	97.7,	105.4,	117.2,	126.4,	133.9,	N/A ,
18 hours	23.2,	32.3,	37.1,	44.4,	49.2,	53.0,	65.2,	78.9,	88.0,	100.6,	111.8,	120.4,	133.7,	144.0,	152.5,	N/A ,
24 hours	26.0,	36.1,	41.4,	49.3,	54.6,	58.8,	72.2,	87.2,	97.0,	110.8,	123.0,	132.4,	146.8,	158.0,	167.2,	199.3,
2 days	32.6,	44.1,	50.1,	58.9,	64.8,	69.3,	83.8,	99.8,	110.2,	124.6,	137.3,	147.0,	161.8,	173.1,	182.4,	214.7,
3 days	37.9,	50.6,	57.1,	66.7,	73.0,	77.8,	93.3,	110.3,	121.2,	136.2,	149.4,	159.4,	174.7,	186.3,	195.9,	228.8,
4 days	42.6,	56.3,	63.3,	73.5,	80.2,	85.3,	101.6,	119.4,	130.8,	146.4,	160.0,	170.4,	186.1,	198.1,	207.9,	241.5,
6 days	50.9,	66.2,	74.0,	85.3,	92.7,	98.3,	116.0,	135.2,	147.4,	164.1,	178.6,	189.5,	206.1,	218.7,	228.9,	263.9,
8 days	58.2,	75.0,	83.5,	95.7 <b>,</b>	103.6,	109.7,	128.7,	149.0,	162.0,	179.6,	194.8,	206.3,	223.6,	236.7,	247.3,	283.6,
10 days	64.9,	83.0,	92.1,	105.2,	113.6,	120.0,	140.1,	161.6,	175.1,	193.6,	209.4,	221.4,	239.3,	252.9,	264.0,	301.4,
12 days	71.3,	90.5,	100.2,	114.0,	122.9,	129.6,	150.7,	173.2,	187.3,	206.5,	222.9,	235.3,	253.9,	267.9,	279.3,	317.9,
16 days	83.0,	104.4,	115.0,	130.1,	139.9,	147.2,	170.1,	194.3,	209.5,	230.0,	247.6,	260.7,	280.4,	295.3,	307.3,	347.8,
20 days	93.9,	117.2,	128.7,	145.0,	155.5,	163.4,	187.8,	213.6,	229.7,	251.4,	269.9,	283.8,	304.4,	320.0,	332.6,	374.8,
25 days	106.7,	132.2,	144.7,	162.3,	173.6,	182.1,	208.3,	235.9,	253.0,	276.0,	295.6,	310.2,	332.0,	348.3,	361.5,	405.8,
NOTES:																

N/A Data not available

These values are derived from a Depth Duration Frequency (DDF) Model

For details refer to:

'Fitzgerald D. L. (2007), Estimates of Point Rainfall Frequencies, Technical Note No. 61, Met Eireann, Dublin', Available for download at www.met.ie/climate/dataproducts/Estimation-of-Point-Rainfall-Frequencies\_TN61.pdf

		_		
PROJECT REF: 23D059	Dealer Dead	_		
	Slackglen Road			
CREATED BY		_		
OREATED DT.				
SYSTEM PARAMETERS			STORMTECH SYSTEM DETAIL	
Required Total Storage	<b>313.1</b> m <sup>3</sup>		StormTech Chamber Model	MC4500
Stormtech chamber model	MC4500		Unit Width	2.54 m
Filtration Permeable Geo or Impermeable Geo	Filter geo		Unit Length	1.23 m
Number of Isolator Rows (IR)	1		Unit Height	1.525 m
			Min Cover Over System	0.3 m
SITE PARAMETERS			Max Cover Over Chamber	2.1 m
Stone Porosity	40%		Chamber Internal Storage Vol.	3.01 m
Excavation Batter Angle (degrees)	60 °	Minimum Requirement	Header Pipe Internal Storage Vol in Excavation	0.0 m
Stone Above Chambers	0.3 m	0.30		
Stone Below Chambers	0.23 m	0.23		
In-between Row Spacing	0.30 m	0.23	STONE AND EXCAVATION DETAIL	
Additional Storage outside Excavation. E.g manholes, Header Pipe	0 m <sup>3</sup>		Volume of Dig for System	552 m
			Width at base	17.34 m
HEADER PIPE			Width at top	19.71 m
Is Header pipe required within excavation	No		Length at base	13.23 m
Orientation of Header Pipe	Parrallel to IR		Length at top	15.60 m
Diameter of Header Pipe	0.225 m		Depth Of System	2.06 m
Length of Header Pipe	0 m		Area of Dig at Base of System	229 m <sup>4</sup>
			Area of Dig at Top of System	308 m <sup>4</sup>
UHAMBER STSTEM DIMENSIONS	Calculated Adop		vulu Kallo Stano Boguiroment m2	00%
Number of units nor Dow			Stone Requirement toppo	574 m
Number of units per ROW System Installed Storage Depth (offective storage depth)	2.055		Stone Requirement - tonne	014 10
System motalied Storage Depth (Ellective Storage depth) Fank overall installed Width at base	2.000	17 34 m		
Tank overall installed Midth at Base	13 23	13 23 m		
	220 2	200 2 3		

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Time	Storm Frequency & Duration	Rainfall	Rainfall Intensity	Potential Run-off From Developed Site	Allowable Run- off From Developed Site	Storage Requirement	Run-off
(mins)		(mm)	(mm/hr)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(m3)	Aica
5	M100-5 min	23.40	280.80	431.90	2.0	129.0	
10	M100-10 min	32.63	195.78	301.13	2.0	179.5	
15	M100-15 min	38.35	153.40	235.95	2.0	210.6	
30	M100-30 min	48.10	96.20	147.97	2.0	262.7	
60	M100-60 min	60.45	60.45	92.98	2.0	327.5	
120	M100-2 hr	75.92	37.96	58.39	2.0	406.0	
180	M100-3 hr	86.84	28.95	44.52	2.0	459.2	
240	M100 - 4hr	95.42	23.86	36.69	2.0	499.6	
<u>360</u>	<u>M100-6 hr</u>	<u>109.07</u>	<u>18.18</u>	<u>27.96</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>560.7</u>	Worst case scenario
540	M100-9 hr	124.67	13.85	21.31	2.0	625.5	
720	M100-12 hr	137.02	11.42	17.56	2.0	672.3	
1080	M100-18 hr	156.52	8.70	13.37	2.0	737.1	
1440	M100-24 hr	172.12	7.17	11.03	2.0	780.3	
2880	M100-2day	191.10	3.98	6.12	2.0	712.6	
	Allowable Run-off	2 Area	l/s Factor	Total			-
	Paving Roof	3844 1693	1 1	3844 1693	m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>2</sup>		
	Total Area			5537	m²		

#### 23D059 - Surface Water Attenutation Calculation 1-100 + 30% Green Area - WEST

2 l/s 5537 m<sup>2</sup>

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Time	Storm Frequency & Duration	Rainfall	Rainfall Intensity	Potential Run-off From Developed Site	Allowable Run- off From Developed Site	Storage Requirement	Run-off Area
(mins)		(mm)	(mm/hr)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m3)	
5	M100-5 min	23.40	280.80	254.83	2.0	75.8	
10	M100-10 min	32.63	195.78	177.67	2.0	105.4	
15	M100-15 min	38.35	153.40	139.21	2.0	123.5	
30	M100-30 min	48.10	96.20	87.30	2.0	153.5	
60	M100-60 min	60.45	60.45	54.86	2.0	190.3	
120	M100-2 hr	75.92	37.96	34.45	2.0	233.6	
180	M100-3 hr	86.84	28.95	26.27	2.0	262.1	
240	M100 - 4hr	95.42	23.86	21.65	2.0	282.9	
<u>360</u>	<u>M100-6 hr</u>	<u>109.07</u>	<u>18.18</u>	<u>16.50</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>313.1</u>	Worst case scenario
540	M100-9 hr	124.67	13.85	12.57	2.0	342.5	
720	M100-12 hr	137.02	11.42	10.36	2.0	361.2	
1080	M100-18 hr	156.52	8.70	7.89	2.0	381.8	
1440	M100-24 hr	172.12	7.17	6.51	2.0	389.5	
2880	M100-2day	191.10	3.98	3.61	2.0	278.7	
	Allowable Run-off	2 Area	l/s Factor	Total			
	Paving Roof	2009 1258	1 1	2009 1258	m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>2</sup>		
	Total Area			3267	m²		

#### 23D059 - Surface Water Attenutation Calculation 1-100 + 30%

2 l/s 3267 m²

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Time	Storm Frequency & Duration	Rainfall	Rainfall Intensity	Potential Run-off From Developed Site	Allowable Run- off From Developed Site	Storage Requirement	Run-off Area
(mins)		(mm)	(mm/hr)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m3)	,
5	M100-5 min	23.40	280.80	432.85	2.0	129.3	
10	M100-10 min	32.63	195.78	301.79	2.0	179.9	
15	M100-15 min	38.35	153.40	236.46	2.0	211.0	
30	M100-30 min	48.10	96.20	148.29	2.0	263.3	
60	M100-60 min	60.45	60.45	93.18	2.0	328.3	
120	M100-2 hr	75.92	37.96	58.51	2.0	406.9	
180	M100-3 hr	86.84	28.95	44.62	2.0	460.3	
240	M100 - 4hr	95.42	23.86	36.77	2.0	500.7	
<u>360</u>	<u>M100-6 hr</u>	<u>109.07</u>	<u>18.18</u>	<u>28.02</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>562.1</u>	Worst case scenario
540	M100-9 hr	124.67	13.85	21.35	2.0	627.0	
720	M100-12 hr	137.02	11.42	17.60	2.0	674.0	
1080	M100-18 hr	156.52	8.70	13.40	2.0	739.0	
1440	M100-24 hr	172.12	7.17	11.05	2.0	782.3	
2880	M100-2day	191.10	3.98	6.14	2.0	714.9	
	Allowable Run-off	2	l/s				
	Paving Roof	<u>Area</u> 3296 2253	<u>Factor</u> 1 1	<u>Total</u> 3296 2253	m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>2</sup>		
	Total Area			5549	m²		

#### 23D059 - Surface Water Attenutation Calculation 1-100 + 30% Blue Area - EAST

2 l/s 5549 m²

# Appendix D – Foul Water Calculations

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

Foul wastewater discharge

According to section 3.7.2.

Consumption: 150l/person/day Occupancy ratio: 2.7 persons/dwelling

Average flow per dwelling = 405 l/dwelling/day Average flow per dwelling = 0.004688 l/s

Considering 129 dwellings:

\*Average flow for 129 dwellings = 0.6047 l/s

\*Peak flow for 129 dwellings =  $5 \times 0.6047$  l/s = 3.023 l/s

#### **12** IW/EF/NC/B/0322
Appendix E – Flood Map Report





Appendix F – Irish Water Confirmation of Feasibility



# Éireann Irish Water

## **CONFIRMATION OF FEASIBILITY**

Síle Hayes

Hayes Higgins The Glass House 11 Coke Lane Smithfield Dublin 7 **Uisce Éireann** Bosca OP 448 Oifig Sheachadta na Cathrach Theas Cathair Chorcaí

**Uisce Éireann** PO Box 448 South City Delivery Office Cork City

www.water.ie

6 June 2024

## Our Ref: CDS24003075 Pre-Connection Enquiry 129 Apartments at Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Dun Laoghaire, Dublin

Dear Applicant/Agent,

## We have completed the review of the Pre-Connection Enquiry.

Uisce Éireann has reviewed the pre-connection enquiry in relation to a Water & Wastewater connection for a Housing Development of 129 unit(s) at 129 Apartments at Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Dun Laoghaire, Dublin, (the **Development)**.

Based upon the details provided we can advise the following regarding connecting to the networks;

- Water Connection
   Feasible without infrastructure upgrade by
   Uisce Éireann
- The 200mm main on Blackglen Road constructed under the LA Road Upgrade Project has to be connected to Uisce Éireann network prior the connection.
- Wastewater Connection Feasible Subject to upgrades
- In order to accommodate the proposed connection, wastewater network upgrade works are required downstream of the site. Uisce Éireann currently has a project on our current investment plan which will provide the necessary network upgrade and capacity. Estimated completion date for the project is Q3 2028 (subject to change).

Stiúrthóirí / Directors: Tony Keohane (Cathaoirleach / Chairman), Niall Gleeson (POF / CEO), Christopher Banks, Fred Barry, Gerard Britchfield, Liz Joyce, Patricia King, Eileen Maher, Cathy Mannion, Michael Walsh.

Oifig Chláraithe / Registered Office: Teach Colvill, 24-26 Sráid Thalbóid, Baile Átha Cliath 1, D01 NP86 / Colvill House, 24-26 Talbot Street, Dublin, Ireland D01NP86

Is cuideachta ghníomhaíochta ainmnithe atá faoi theorainn scaireanna é Uisce Éireann / Uisce Éireann is a design activity company, limited by shares. Cláraithe in Éirinn Uimh.: 530363 / Registered in Ireland No.: 530363.

- Additionally, the 300mm gravity sewer on Blackglen Road constructed under the LA Road Upgrade Project, has to be connected to the proposed downstream infrastructure.

This letter does not constitute an offer, in whole or in part, to provide a connection to any Uisce Éireann infrastructure. Before the Development can be connected to our network(s) you must submit a connection application <u>and be granted and sign</u> a connection agreement with Uisce Éireann.

As the network capacity changes constantly, this review is only valid at the time of its completion. As soon as planning permission has been granted for the Development, a completed connection application should be submitted. The connection application is available at <a href="http://www.water.ie/connections/get-connected/">www.water.ie/connections/get-connected/</a>

## Where can you find more information?

- Section A What is important to know?
- Section B Details of Uisce Éireann's Network(s)

This letter is issued to provide information about the current feasibility of the proposed connection(s) to Uisce Éireann's network(s). This is not a connection offer and capacity in Uisce Éireann's network(s) may only be secured by entering into a connection agreement with Uisce Éireann.

For any further information, visit <u>www.water.ie/connections</u>, email <u>newconnections@water.ie</u> or contact 1800 278 278.

Yours sincerely,

Dermot Phelan Connections Delivery Manager

## Section A - What is important to know?

What is important to know?	Why is this important?
Do you need a contract to connect?	<ul> <li>Yes, a contract is required to connect. This letter does not constitute a contract or an offer in whole or in part to provide a connection to Uisce Éireann's network(s).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Before the Development can connect to Uisce Éireann's network(s), you must submit a connection application <u>and</u> <u>be granted and sign</u> a connection agreement with Uisce Éireann.</li> </ul>
When should I submit a Connection Application?	<ul> <li>A connection application should only be submitted after planning permission has been granted.</li> </ul>
Where can I find information on connection charges?	Uisce Éireann connection charges can be found at: <u>https://www.water.ie/connections/information/charges/</u>
Who will carry out the connection work?	<ul> <li>All works to Uisce Éireann's network(s), including works in the public space, must be carried out by Uisce Éireann*.</li> </ul>
	*Where a Developer has been granted specific permission and has been issued a connection offer for Self-Lay in the Public Road/Area, they may complete the relevant connection works
Fire flow Requirements	• The Confirmation of Feasibility does not extend to fire flow requirements for the Development. Fire flow requirements are a matter for the Developer to determine.
	What to do? - Contact the relevant Local Fire Authority
Plan for disposal of storm water	The Confirmation of Feasibility does not extend to the management or disposal of storm water or ground waters.
	• What to do? - Contact the relevant Local Authority to discuss the management or disposal of proposed storm water or ground water discharges.
Where do I find details of Uisce Éireann's network(s)?	Requests for maps showing Uisce Éireann's network(s) can be submitted to: <u>datarequests@water.ie</u>

What are the design requirements for the connection(s)?	<ul> <li>The design and construction of the Water &amp; Wastewater pipes and related infrastructure to be installed in this Development shall comply with <i>the Uisce Éireann</i> <i>Connections and Developer Services Standard Details</i> <i>and Codes of Practice,</i> available at <u>www.water.ie/connections</u></li> </ul>
Trade Effluent Licensing	<ul> <li>Any person discharging trade effluent** to a sewer, must have a Trade Effluent Licence issued pursuant to section 16 of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977 (as amended).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>More information and an application form for a Trade Effluent License can be found at the following link: <u>https://www.water.ie/business/trade-effluent/about/</u></li> </ul>
	**trade effluent is defined in the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977 (as amended)

## Section B – Details of Uisce Éireann's Network(s)

The map included below outlines the current Uisce Éireann infrastructure adjacent the Development: To access Uisce Éireann Maps email <u>datarequests@water.ie</u>



Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey of Ireland by Permission of the Government. License No. 3-3-34

**Note:** The information provided on the included maps as to the position of Uisce Éireann's underground network(s) is provided as a general guide only. The information is based on the best available information provided by each Local Authority in Ireland to Uisce Éireann.

Whilst every care has been taken in respect of the information on Uisce Éireann's network(s), Uisce Éireann assumes no responsibility for and gives no guarantees, undertakings or warranties concerning the accuracy, completeness or up to date nature of the information provided, nor does it accept any liability whatsoever arising from or out of any errors or omissions. This information should not be solely relied upon in the event of excavations or any other works being carried out in the vicinity of Uisce Éireann's underground network(s). The onus is on the parties carrying out excavations or any other works to ensure the exact location of Uisce Éireann's underground network(s) is identified prior to excavations or any other works being carried out. Service connection pipes are not generally shown but their presence should be anticipated. Appendix G – SuDs Matrix Document



SuDS Measures	Measures to be used on this site	Rationale for selecting/not selecting measure
Source Control		
Swales	N	There is limited space within the site for same.
Tree Pits	Y	Tree pits maybe included in landscape design. Not included in the SuDs calculations given the poor infiltration rate on site, but they will contribute.
Rainwater Butts	Ν	To be reviewed with the architect and client.
Rainwater harvesting	N	To be reviewed with the architect and client to see if it is a viable option.
Soakaways	Ν	Not viable due to impermeable ground conditions.
Infiltration trenches	Ν	Not viable due to impermeable ground conditions.
Permeable pavement	Y	Permeable surfacing will be provided to provide storage.
Green Roofs	N	Not viable due to nature of development .
Filter strips	N	Filter strips maybe included in landscape design. Not included in the SuDs calculations, due to the impermeable ground conditions, but they will contribute.
Bio-retention	Y	Raingardens maybe included in landscape design. Not included in
systems/Raingardens		the SuDs calculations, due to the impermeable ground conditions.
Blue Roofs	Ν	Not cost effective over the lifespan due to maintenance.
Filter Drain	N	Not currently proposed.
Site Control		
Detention Basins	N	No available room on site for large bodies of water and poses a potential drowning hazard.
Retentions basins	N	No available room on site for large bodies of water and poses a potential drowning hazard.
Regional Control		
Ponds	N	No available room on site for large bodies of water and poses a potential drowning hazard
Wetlands	N	No available room on site for large bodies of water and poses a potential drowning hazard.
Other		
Petrol/Oil interceptor	Y	Included in overall drainage design.
Attenuation tank – only as a last resort where other measures are not feasible	Y	Two locations on site. Provided on site. Site storage for 1/100 yr storm + 20% climate change with hydro brake connection to outfall.

Appendix H – Site Investigation





Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Ground Investigations Ireland Blackglen Road, Sandyford Kavanagh Mansfield Ground Investigation Report

March 2024



Directors: Fergal McNamara (MD), Conor Finnerty, Aisling McDonnell, Barry Sexton & Stephen Kealy Ground Investigations Ireland Limited | Registered in Ireland Company Registration No.: 405726



Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

## DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Project Title	Blackglen Road, Sandyford.
Engineer	Kavanagh Mansfield
Client	Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council
Project No	12844-05-23
Document Title	Ground Investigation Report

Rev.	Status	Author(s)	Reviewed By	Approved By	Office of Origin	Issue Date
A	Final	J. Conneally	S. Kealy	S. Kealy	Dublin	11 March 2024

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd. present the results of the fieldworks and laboratory testing in accordance with the specification and related documents provided by or on behalf of the client The possibility of variation in the ground and/or groundwater conditions between or below exploratory locations or due to the investigation techniques employed must be taken into account when this report and the appendices inform designs or decisions where such variation may be considered relevant. Ground and/or groundwater conditions may vary due to seasonal, man-made or other activities not apparent during the fieldworks and no responsibility can be taken for such variation. The data presented and the recommendations included in this report and associated appendices are intended for the use of the client and the client's geotechnical representative only and any duty of care to others is excluded unless approved in writing.





Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

 Tel:
 01 601 5175 / 5176

 Email:
 info@gii.ie

 Web:
 www.gii.ie

### CONTENTS

1.0	Preamble	1
2.0	Overview	1
2.1.	Background	1
2.2.	Purpose and Scope	1
3.0	Subsurface Exploration	1
3.1.	General	1
3.2.	Trial Pits	2
3.3.	Soakaway Testing	2
3.4.	Rotary Boreholes	2
3.5.	Surveying	3
3.9.	TRL Dynamic Cone Penetrometer	3
3.11.	Laboratory Testing	3
4.0	Ground Conditions	3
4.1.	General	3
4.3.	Groundwater	4
4.4.	Laboratory Testing	5
4.4.2.	Environmental Laboratory Testing	5
4.4.3.	Rock Laboratory Testing	5
5.0	Recommendations & Conclusions	6
5.1.	General	6
5.2.	Foundations	6
5.3.	External Pavements	6
5.4.	Excavations	6
5.5.	Soakaway Design	7





Geotechnical & Environmental

#### APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Site Location Plan
Appendix 2	Trial Pit Records
Appendix 3	Soakaway Records
Appendix 4	Rotary Core Records
Appendix 5	TRL/DCP Records
Appendix 5	Laboratory Testing
Appendix 6	Groundwater Monitoring

Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

 Tel:
 01 601 5175 / 5176

 Email:
 info@gii.ie

 Web:
 www.gii.ie



#### 1.0 Preamble

On the instructions of Kavanagh Mansfield Consulting Engineers, a site investigation was carried out by Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd., between December 2023 and January 2024 at the site of the proposed housing development in Sandford, Co. Dublin.

#### 2.0 Overview

#### 2.1. Background

It is proposed to construct a new residential development with associated services, access roads and car parking at the proposed site. The site is currently greenfield however. The proposed construction is envisaged to consist of conventional foundations and pavement make up with some local excavations for services and plant.

#### 2.2. Purpose and Scope

The purpose of the site investigation was to investigate subsurface conditions utilising a variety of investigative methods in accordance with the project specification. The scope of the work undertaken for this project included the following:

- Visit project site to observe existing conditions
- Carry out 16 No. Trial Pits to a maximum depth of 3.80m BGL
- Carry out 2 No. Soakaways to determine a soil infiltration value to BRE digest 365
- Carry out 8 No. TRL Probes to determine CBR
- Carry out 3 No. Rotary Core Boreholes to a maximum depth of 7m BGL
- Geotechnical & Environmental Laboratory testing
- Report with recommendations

#### 3.0 Subsurface Exploration

#### 3.1. General

During the ground investigation a programme of intrusive investigation specified by the Consulting Engineer was undertaken to determine the sub surface conditions at the proposed site. Regular sampling and insitu testing was undertaken in the exploratory holes to facilitate the geotechnical descriptions and to enable laboratory testing to be carried out on the soil samples recovered during excavation and drilling.

The procedures used in this site investigation are in accordance with Eurocode 7 Part 2: Ground Investigation and testing (ISEN 1997 – 2:2007) and B.S. 5930:2015.

#### 3.2. Trial Pits

The trial pits were excavated using a JCB 3CX excavator at the locations shown in the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix 1. The locations were checked using a CAT scan to minimise the potential for encountering services during the excavation. The trial pits were sampled, logged and photographed by a Geotechnical Engineer/Engineering Geologist prior to backfilling with arisings. Notes were made of any services, inclusions, pit stability, groundwater encountered and the characteristics of the strata encountered and are presented on the trial pit logs which are provided in Appendix 2 of this Report.

#### 3.3. Soakaway Testing

The soakaway testing was carried out in selected trial pits at the locations shown in the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix 1. These pits were carefully excavated and filled with water to assess the infiltration characteristics of the proposed site. The pits were allowed to drain and the drop in water level was recorded over time as required by BRE Digest 365. The pits were logged prior to completing the soakaway test and were backfilled with arising's upon completion. The soakaway test results are provided in Appendix 3 of this Report.

#### 3.4. Rotary Boreholes

The rotary coring was carried out by a track mounted T44 Beretta rig at the locations shown on the location plan in Appendix 1. The rotary boreholes were completed from the ground surface or alternatively, where noted on the individual borehole log, from the base of the cable percussion borehole where a temporary liner was installed to facilitate follow-on rotary coring.

The T44 Beretta is equipped with rubber tracks which allow for short travel on pavement surfaces avoiding any damage to the surface. The T44 Beretta utilises a triple tube core barrel system operated using a wireline drilling process. The outer barrel is rotated by the drill rods and at its lower end, carries the coring bit. The inner barrel is mounted on a swivel so that it does not rotate during the process. The third barrel or liner is placed within the second one to retain the core intact and to preserve as much as possible the fabric of the drilling stratum. The core is cut by the coring bit and passes to the inner liner. The core is brought up to the surface within the inner barrel on a small diameter wire rope or line attached to the "overshoot" recovery tool which is then placed into a core box in order of recovery. A drilling fluid, typically air mist or water flush is passed from the surface through hollow drill rods to the drill bit and is used to cool the drill bit. Temporary casing is used in some situations to support unstable ground or to seal off fissures or voids. It should be noted that the rotary coring can only achieve limited recovery in overburden, particularly granular or weakly cemented strata due to the flushing medium washing away the cohesive fraction during coring. The recovery achieved, where required is noted on the borehole logs and core photographs are provided to allow assessment of the core recovered. The rotary borehole logs are provided in Appendix 4 of this Report.

#### 3.5. Surveying

The exploratory hole locations have been recorded using a KQ GEO Technologies KQ-M8 System which records the coordinates and elevation of the locations to ITM or Irish National Grid as required by the project specification. The coordinates and elevations are provided on the exploratory hole logs in the appendices of this Report.

#### 3.6. TRL Dynamic Cone Penetrometer

The TRL DCP tests were carried out at locations specified by the Consulting Engineer to determine a CBR design value for the design of external pavements. The testing was carried out below the Topsoil or existing pavement at the depths detailed on the test report. The test consists of dropping a 10kg weight on an anvil to drive a small diameter cone and recording the blows for a given penetration. The results of the DCP testing are included in Appendix 5 of this Report.

#### 3.7. Laboratory Testing

Samples were selected from the exploratory holes for a range of geotechnical and environmental testing to assist in the classification of soils and to provide information for the proposed design.

Environmental & Chemical testing as required by the specification, including the Rilta Suite pH and sulphate testing was carried out by Element Materials Technology Laboratory in the UK. The Rilta suite testing includes both Solid Waste and Leachate Waste Acceptance Criteria.

Rock strength testing including Point Load (Is<sub>50</sub>) and Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) testing was carried out in CMTL Ireland Ltd.'s Laboratory in Portlaoise, Co. Laois.

The results of the laboratory testing are included in Appendix 6 of this Report.

#### 4.0 Ground Conditions

#### 4.1. General

The ground conditions encountered during the investigation are summarised below with reference to insitu and laboratory test results. The full details of the strata encountered during the ground investigation are provided in the exploratory hole logs included in the appendices of this report.

The sequence of strata encountered were consistent across the site and generally comprised;

- Topsoil/Surfacing
- Made Ground
- Cohesive Deposits
- Weathered Bedrock
- Bedrock

**TOPSOIL:** Topsoil was encountered in all the exploratory holes, except Trial pits 01 & 02, which are located near the boundary of the site, and was present to a maximum depth of 0.2m BGL.

**MADE GROUND:** Made Ground deposits were encountered in Trial pits 01 & 02 from ground level and were present to depths of between 1.3m and 2.5m BGL. These deposits were described generally as *brown mottled black slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with fragments of plastic, timber, red brick concrete and construction waste.* 

**COHESIVE DEPOSITS:** Cohesive deposits were encountered beneath the Made Ground and were described typically as *brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with low cobble and boulder contents*. The secondary sand and gravel constituents varied across the site and with depth, with granular lenses occasionally present in the glacial till matrix. The strength of the cohesive deposits typically increased with depth and was firm to stiff or stiff between 0.2m BGL and the top of bedrock in the majority of the exploratory holes. These deposits had some, occasional or frequent cobble and boulder content, where noted on the exploratory hole logs.

**WEATHERED BEDROCK:** In the majority of exploratory holes weathered rock was encountered which was digable with the large excavator to a depth of up to 1.0m below the top of the stratum. The trial pits were terminated upon encountering the more competent bedrock, in which further excavation became more difficult. This material was recovered typically as light brown sandy GRAVEL of granite with low sub-angular cobble content. Some clay and sand were also present with the rock mass either from weathering or as infilling to fractures which were opened upon excavation.

**BEDROCK**: The rotary core boreholes recovered strong to very strong white to cream mottled grey and pink crystalline coarse-grained GRANITE. This is typical of the type 3 muscovite porphyritic unit of the Northern pluton of the Leinster Granite.

The depth to rock varies from 1.6m BGL in BH02 to a maximum of 2.6m BGL in BH03. However TP07 reached a depth of 3.8m bGL without intersecting bedrock. The total core recovery is good, typically 100% with only one run in BH03 dropping to 67%. The SCR and RQD both are relatively poor in the upper weathered zone, often recovered as non-intact, however both indices show an increase with depth in each of the boreholes.

#### 4.2. Groundwater

Groundwater strikes are noted on the exploratory hole logs where they occurred and where possible drilling was suspended for twenty minutes to allow the subsequent rise in groundwater to be recorded. We would point out that these exploratory holes did not remain open for sufficiently long periods of time to establish the hydrogeological regime and groundwater levels would be expected to vary with the tide, time of year, rainfall, nearby construction and other factors. For this reason, standpipes were installed in BH01 BH02

and BH03 to allow the equilibrium groundwater level to be determined. The groundwater monitoring is included in Appendix 7 of this Report.

#### 4.3. Laboratory Testing

#### 4.3.1. Environmental Laboratory Testing

A number of samples were analysed for a suite of parameters which allows for the assessment of the sampled material in terms of total pollutant content for classification of materials as *hazardous* or *non-hazardous*. The suite also allows for the assessment of the sampled material in terms of suitability for placement at licenced landfills (inert, stable non-reactive, hazardous etc.). The parameter list for the suite includes analysis of the solid samples for arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, copper, cyanide, lead, nickel, mercury, zinc, speciated aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons, pH, sulphate, sulphide, moisture content, soil organic matter and an asbestos screen.

The suite also includes those parameters specified in the EU Council Decision establishing criteria for the acceptance of waste at Landfills (Council Decision 2003/33/EC), which for the solid samples are total organic carbon (TOC), speciated aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX, phenol, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) and PAH.

As part of the suite a leachate is generated from the solid sample which is analysed for antimony, arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, zinc, chloride, fluoride, soluble sulphate, sulphide, phenols, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total dissolved solids (TDS).

While the laboratory report provides a comparison with the waste acceptance criteria limits it does not provide a waste classification of the material sampled nor does it comment on any potentially hazardous properties of the materials tested. The possibility for contamination, not revealed by the testing undertaken should be borne in mind particularly where Made Ground deposits are present or the previous site use or location indicate a risk of environmental variation. The waste classification report is included under the cover of a separate report by Ground Investigations Ireland. A waste classification report is recommended to be carried out to provide an interpretation of the laboratory data should any material be required to be disposed of off site.

#### 4.3.2. Rock Laboratory Testing

The rock testing carried out on samples recovered from the boreholes reported Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) values ranging between 28.7 and 49.5 MPa while the point load testing gave Is50 values ranging between 1.09 to 1.44 MPa. The Is<sub>50</sub> results correlate to the UCS values using a factor of approximately 20, giving values 21.8 MPa and 28.8MPa. These results correlate to the strength descriptions ranging between of Weak to Medium Strong and confirming the variability of this stratum and the descriptions on the logs. The average of the UCS testing and associated correlated values from the point loading suggest the rock is typically on the border of weak to medium strong.

The results from the completed laboratory testing are included in Appendix 6 of this report.

#### 5.0 Recommendations & Conclusions

#### 5.1. General

The recommendations given and opinions expressed in this report are based on the findings as detailed in the exploratory hole records. Where an opinion is expressed on the material between exploratory hole locations, this is for guidance only and no liability can be accepted for its accuracy. No responsibility can be accepted for conditions which have not been revealed by the exploratory holes. Limited information has been provided at the ground investigation stage and any designs based on the recommendations or conclusions should be completed in accordance with the current design codes, taking into account the variation and the specific details contained within the exploratory hole logs.

#### 5.2. Foundations

An allowable bearing capacity of 1000 kN/m<sup>2</sup> is recommended for conventional strip or pad foundations on the medium strong bedrock deposits between a depth of 1.60m to 2.80m BGL. Where the medium strong bedrock deposits are deeper, such as at the location of TP07, lean mix trench fill to a depth of 3.80m BGL is recommended to achieve the recommended allowable bearing capacity.

The possibility for variation in the depth of the made ground in the vicinity of these foundations should be considered and foundation inspections should be carried out. Any soft spots encountered at the proposed foundation depths should be excavated and replaced with lean mix concrete.

The pH and sulphate testing completed on samples recovered from the exploratory holes indicates the pH results are near neutral and the sulphate results are low, when compared to the guideline values from BRE Special Digest 1:2005. No special precautions are required for concrete foundations to prevent sulphate attack. The samples tested were below the limits of DS1 in the BRE Special Digest 1:2005.

#### 5.3. External Pavements

The proposed pavements are recommended to be designed in accordance with the CBR test results included in the Appendices of this Report. The low CBR test results indicate that a capping layer or a sufficient depth of crushed stone fill may be required. Plate bearing tests are recommended at the time of construction to verify the design assumptions for the proposed pavement make up and to verify adequate compaction has been achieved.

The use of a geogrid and separation membrane may improve the performance of the proposed pavement and enable a more economical pavement design to be achieved, a specialist supplier is recommended to advise of the required strength, depth and type of geotextile for the proposed design.

#### 5.4. Excavations

Short term temporary excavations in the cohesive deposits will remain stable for a limited time only and will require to be appropriately battered or the sides supported if the excavation is below 1.25m BGL or is required to permit man entry.

Excavations in the Made Ground, Peat or soft Cohesive Deposits will require to be appropriately battered or the sides supported due to the low strength of these deposits.

Excavations in the upper cohesive and weathered rock deposits are expected to be excavatable with conventional excavation equipment, with zones of more intact bedrock below this depth requiring rock breaking techniques. Based on the fracture spacing, the rock strength testing and Pettifer & Fookes (1994) Revised Excavatability Graph, Leinster Granite, ranges from hard digging to hard ripping, however the zones recovered as non-intact should be easy to hard digging. The 3CX excavator was generally able to excavate to depths of 0.9m to 1.9m below the top of the weathered rock and became difficult to excavate within the confines of the trial pit on encountering the more competent rock.

Any waste material to be removed off site should be disposed of to a suitably licenced landfill.

The environmental testing completed during the ground investigation is reported under the cover of a separate GII Waste Classification/Subsoil Assessment Report.

#### 5.5. Soakaway Design

At the locations of SA01 and SA02 the water level dropped too slowly to allow calculation of 'f' the soil infiltration rate. These locations are therefore not recommended as suitable for soakaway design and construction.

The recommendations provided in this report should be verified in the design of the proposed buildings, using the full details of the loading conditions and taking into consideration the allowable tolerable settlements/movements that the building can accommodate. The founding strata should be inspected and verified by a suitably qualified engineer prior to construction of the building foundations.

**APPENDIX 1** - Site Location Plan





717700E

718000E

APPENDIX 2 - Trial Pit Records



Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd						Site Trial Pit Blackglen Road Sandyford TP01		
Machine:3 Method :⊤	CX rial Pit	Dimensi 2.50m x	ons 0.70m x 2.10m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 138.99		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council	
		Location 717	1 762.2 E 725380.7 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
0.50	В1				 	MADE GROUND: dark bro subangular cobble and bo timber, concrete fragment coarse angular to subrour	own slightly gravelly Clay wit ulder content and high plast content. Gravels are fine to ded.	h low ic,
1.40	В2			137.69	1.30 (0.70)	WEATHERED ROCK recc clayey sandy fine to coars Granite.	overed as brownish grey slig e angular to subangular Gra	htty vel of
1.80	В3			136.99 136.89		Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.10m		
Plan .		•		•	· · ·	Remarks No groundwater encountere	d.	
						I rial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 2.10m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering co pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
		•		•				
· ·	· ·			• •	· ·			
				•	· · ·	Scale (approx)	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP01

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd						Site Tria Num Blackglen Road Sandyford TF		
Machine: 3 Method : T	CX rial Pit	Dimensi 2.60m x	ons 0.70m x 2.70m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 137.27		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council	
		Location 717	1 806.8 E 725374.8 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting		<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend Safe
0.50	B1				   (0.80)	MADE GROUND: brown r gravelly Clay with medium plastic, timber, red brick a are fine to coarse angular	nottled black slightly sandy i subangular cobble and high nd C&D waste content. Grav to subangular.	n rels
1.00 1.00-2.00	B2 B3			136.47		POSSIBLE MADE GROU Clay. Gravels are fine to c Note odour at 1.00m BGL	ND: brown slightly sandy gra oarse angular to subangular	avelly
2.70	Β4			134.77 134.67 134.57	- (1.70) - (1.70) - (1.70) - (0.10) - (0.1	WEATHERED ROCK reco coarse angular to subang medium subangular to sub subrounded boulder conte Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.70m	overed as brown sandy fine lar Gravel of Granite with prounded cobble and low ent.	
Plan .	· ·	•		•	'	Remarks		
						Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 2.70m Trial pit backfilled upon com	a. BGL due to encountering co pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
				•	••••			
	· ·							
					· · ·	Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP05

	Grou	ind In	vestigatio www.gii	ons Ire	Site Trial Num Blackglen Road Sandyford TP				
Machine : 3 Method : 7	3CX Frial Pit	Dimensions           2.60m x 0.70m x 2.70m (L x W x D)           Location           717796.6 E 725398.9 N			Ground Level (mOD) 138.41		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23
					Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	D	escription	Legend S
0.60	B1				138.21	(0.20) 0.20 (0.60) 0.60) 0.80	Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm to stiff brown mottled Gravels are fine to coarse Firm to stiff brown slightly	lets. black slightly gravelly CLAY angular to subangular. sandy slightly gravelly CLAY	<pre></pre>
1.30	B2						low subangular cobble có angular to subangular.	ntent. Grăvels ăre finé to coa	arse
2.40	ВЗ		Slow(1) at 2.70r	n.	136.21 135.91 135.81 135.71	- 2.20 - 2.20 - (0.30) - 2.50 - (0.10) - 2.60 - (0.10) - 2.70 	Medium dense to dense g SAND with low subangula Gravels are fine to coarse WEATHERED ROCK reco to coarse angular to suban Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.70m	reyish brown slightly gravell r to subrounded cobble conf angular to subrounded. overed as light brown sandy ngular Gravel of Granite.	y tent.
Plan .							Remarks	ed.	
							Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 2.70m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering contering content of the second seco	ompetent bedrock.
 	· ·		· ·			 			
				·		· · ·	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.
							1:25	AM	12844-05-23.TP03

	Grou	nd In	vestigations www.gii.ie	Site Trial Pit Number Blackglen Road Sandyford TP04				
Machine: 3 Method : T	Machine : 3CX         Dimensions           Method : Trial Pit         2.70m x 0.70m x 2.80m (L x W x D)			D) Ground	l <b>Level (mOD)</b> 136.80	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23
		Location 717	1 7819.2 E 725386.1 N	Dates 2	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Kater Zater
				136.60	(0.20) 0 0.20	Brown slightly gravelly TO fine to coarse angular to s Firm to stiff brown slightly fine to coarse angular to s	PSOIL with rootlets. Gravels ubangular. sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel ubangular.	are
0.50	B1			100.44	(0.50)			
				136.10		Firm to stiff dark brown sli medium subangular bould coarse angular to subangu	ghtly sandy gravelly CLAY wi er content. Gravels are fine t Jlar.	th O
1.00	B2				(1.20) (1.20) 			পি <i>দ বা </i>
2.30	вз			134.90	- 1.90 - 1.90 - (0.80)	Medium dense to dense g Gravels are fine to coarse	reyish brown gravelly SAND angular to subangular.	
				134.10 134.00		Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.80m		
Plan					-	Romarks		
· · · ·						No groundwater encountere Trial pit stable.	d.	
· ·					•••	Trial pit terminated at 2.80m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering co pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
					•••			
· ·	· ·		· · ·		· · ·			
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP04

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd						Site Trial P Blackglen Road Sandyford TP0		
Machine:3 Method :⊤	Inchine : 3CX         Dimensions           athod : Trial Pit         2.60m x 0.70m x 1.80m (L x W x D)				Level (mOD) 134.99	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council	
		Location 717	849.7 E 725401.9 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	, D	escription	Legend S
0.70	В1			134.79	(0.20) 0.20 (0.60)	Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm to stiff brown slightly fine to coarse subangular subangular cobble conten	lets. sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel to subrounded with low t.	s are
1.10	B2				 (0.60) 	Firm brown sandy very gr coarse angular to subang subangular cobble conten	avelly CLAY. Gravels are tine ular with medium angular to t.	
1.80	В3			133.59 133.29 133.19	- 1.40 - (0.30) - (0.10) - (0.10) - 1.80 - (0.10) - 1.80 	WEATHERED ROCK reco coarse angular to subang medium subangular to sul subrounded boulder conte Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 1.80m	overed as brown sandy fine t ular Gravel of Granite with prounded cobble and low ent.	°
Plan .					E	Remarks		
						No groundwater encountere Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 1.80m Trial pit backfilled upon com	ed. BGL due to encountering co pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
	· ·		 		 			
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP05

	Grou	ind In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Tri Blackglen Road Sandyford T		
Machine : 3CX       Dimensions         Method : Trial Pit       2.70m x 0.70m x 1.25m (L x         Location       717717.6 E 725421.1 N		ions (0.70m x 1.25m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD) 141.79	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23	
		Location 717	n 7717.6 E 725421.1 N	Dates 27/11/2023		Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Pepth (m) Sample / Tests Water Depth (m) Field Records		Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Kater Zater	
				141.64	(0.15) (0.15) (0.15) (1.00) (1.00) (1.00) (1.00)	Dark brown TOPSOIL with Firm to stiff brown slightly subangular cobble conten angular to subangular.	n tree roots and rootlets. sandy gravelly CLAY with lo t. Gravels are fine to coarse	
				140.64 140.55 140.54		WEATHERED ROCK reco sandy fine to coarse angu Granite. Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 1.25m	overed as greyish light brow lar to subangular Gravel of	
Plan		•		•		Remarks No groundwater encountere	ed.	
						Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 1.25m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering c pletion.	competent bedrock.
· ·	· ·	•	· · ·		 			
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP06

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd						Site Trial P Number Blackglen Road Sandyford TP0		
Machine : 3CX Method : Trial Pit		Dimens 2.30m	ions x 0.70m x 3.80m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 141.42		<b>Client</b> Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23
		Locatio	n 7739.6 E 725436.6 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	) Description		Legend
				141.22	2 (0.20) 2 0.20	Dark brown TOPSOIL roo Firm to stiff brown slightly subangular cobble conten angular to subangular.	tlets. sandy gravelly CLAY with lo t. Gravels are fine to coarse	DW
0.50	B1							
1.00	B2				(2.00) (2.00)    			
2.00	Β3		Slow(1) at 2.00m.	139.22	2.20 	Stiff dark brown slightly sa subangular cobble conten angular to subangular (da	andy gravelly CLAY with low t. Gravels are fine to coarse mp).	
3.50	Β4			137.62	- - - 2 - - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Complete at 3.80m		
Plan .	• •	•				Remarks	at 2,00m BCL with slow inflo	I I
						Trial pit sidewalls spalling b Trial pit backfilled upon com	elow 2.50m BGL. pletion.	····
		•						
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No.

Ground Investigations Irelan						Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	Tri Nu T	Trial Pit Number <b>TP08</b>	
Machine : 3CX Method : Trial Pit		Dimensions 2.60m x 0.70m x 2.70m (L x W x D)			Ground Level (mOD) 140.74		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Jo Nu 1284	<b>b</b> J <b>mber</b> 44-05-23
		Locatio	<b>n</b> 7756.9 E 725435.	1 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sh	1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Red	cords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Leg	Vater V
0.60	В1				140.54	(0.20) 0.20 (0.80) (0.80)	Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm to stiff brown slightly subangular cobble conten angular to subangular.	ets. sandy gravelly CLAY with Ic t. Gravels are fine to coarse	XX 0.14	
1.20	В2				139.74	- 1.00 - 1.00 - (0.60)	Stiff dark brown slightly sa subangular cobble conten angular to subangular.	ndy gravelly CLAY with mea t. Gravels are fine to coarse	dium 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
2.00	ВЗ				139.14		Stiff brown slightly sandy v Weathered Rock content. angular to subangular.	very gravelly CLAY with high Gravels are fine to coarse		
2.70	B4		Slow(1) at 2.70m	ı.	138.34 138.14 138.04	- 2.40 - (0.20) - (0.10) - (0.10) - 2.70 - 2.70 - 2.70 	WEATHERED ROCK reco to coarse angular to suban subangular cobble conten Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.70m	overed as light brown sandy ngular Gravel of Granite with t.	fine	<u>&gt;</u> ∠
Plan .					•	· ·	Remarks Groundwater encountered a	at 2.00m BGL with slow inflo	W.	
					•		I rial pit sidewalls spalling be Trial pit backfilled upon com	elow 2.50m BGL. pletion.		
					•	•••				
 	· ·		· ·		• •					
		·				<u></u>	Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No 12844-05-2	23.TP08

Ground Investigations Irel				land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford		Trial Pit Number <b>TP09</b>
Machine : 3CX Method : Trial Pit		Dimens 2.10m	<b>ions</b> x 0.70m x 0.50m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 141.98		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23
		Locatio	<b>n</b> 7719.1 E 725454.9 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level Depth (mOD) (m) (Thickness		D	Description	
Plan .				141.88 141.58 141.48	(Inickness) (Inickness) (0.10) (0.30) (0.30) (0.1	Brown TOPSOIL with tree WEATHERED ROCK reco sandy fine to coarse angu Granite with medium angu Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 0.50m	roots and rootlets. pvered as greyish light brown lar to subangular Gravel of ilar to subangular cobble con-	ntent.
						Trial pit terminated at 0.50m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering contering contering content of the second s	ompetent bedrock.
				•	•••			
· ·	· ·	•			· · ·			
					-			
					S	scale (approx) 1:25	Loggea By AM	гідиге No. 12844-05-23.ТР09

Machine : 5:04 - Method : 7:147 PU         Dimesons 2000 - 2:14:24 M         Calent Data Logitaria         Calent Data Logitaria         Calent Data Logitaria         Second Data Log	Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd						Site Trial Pit Blackglen Road Sandyford TP10		
Location         Dates         Prima         Results         Engineer         Sample / Tests         Bite / Test         Steer         1n           0,00         B1         B1         Field Records         (M00)         Description         Legend §           0,00         B1         Image: Steer in the stee	Machine : 3 Method : T	CX rial Pit	Dimensi 2.60m >	ions < 0.70m x 2.10m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 141.84		<b>Client</b> Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23
Depth (m)         Sample / Tests         West (m)         Field Records         LmSS         Description         Legend §           0.60         B1         I         I         I         I         I         I         Internet same grant and nodes.         Internet same grant and			Location 717	n 7731.1 E 725470.3 N	Dates 27/11/2023		Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
0.60     B1	Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Legend Safe
1.80       B2       B2       Image: state in the st	0.60	B1			141.69	(0.15) 0.15       	Brown TOPSOIL with tree Firm to stiff light brown slig low subangular cobble co subangular to subrounded	roots and rootlets. ghtly sandy gravelly CLAY w ntent. Gravels are fine to co l.	ith arse ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Plan       .	1.80	B2			140.74	1.10 	WEATHERED ROCK recc sandy fine to coarse angu Granite with medium suba	overed as greyish light brow lar to subangular Gravel of ngular cobble content.	n
Plan <					139.84		Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.10m		
.     . <td>Plan .</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>Remarks</td> <td>d.</td> <td></td>	Plan .				•		Remarks	d.	
.       .					•		Trial pit terminated at 2.10m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering c pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
.       .									
	· ·	· ·	•						
					-		Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd					Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	Site Trial Pit Blackglen Road Sandyford TP11			
Machine : 3CX Method : Trial Pit		Dimensi 2.50m x	ons 0.70m x 0.80m (L x W x D)	Ground Level (mOD) 136.44		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		Job Number 12844-05-23	
		Location 717	) 825.1 E 725440.3 N	Dates 27/11/2023		Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)		Kater Kater		
0.30	В1			136.24	(0.20) 0.20 (0.45) 0.65	Brown TOPSOIL with tree Firm to stiff brown slightly low subangular to subrour fine to coarse subangular	roots and rootlets. sandy slightly gravelly CLAY ded cobble content. Gravels to subrounded.	with s are	
0.80 Plan . 	B2	·				Granite with medium subasubangular boulder content         Obstruction: Bedrock.         Complete at 0.80m         Remarks         No groundwater encounterer         Trial pit stable.         Trial pit terminated at 0.80m	ed. BGL due to encountering completion.	ompetent bedrock.	
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP11	
	Grou	ind In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd		Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	3	Trial Pit Number TP12
--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------	---	-----------------------	--	---	---	---
Machine: 3 Method : T	CX rial Pit	Dimens 2.40m	ions x 0.70m x 1.00m (L x W x D)	Ground	<b>Leve</b> 133.91	<b>I (mOD)</b> 1	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-2
		Locatio	<b>n</b> 7845.4 E 725467.4 N	Dates 27	7/11/20	023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	D (Thio	epth (m) ckness)	D	escription	Legend
0.70 0.70	B1		Field Records	(mÖŬ) 133.7 <sup>4</sup> 133.1 <sup>1</sup> 133.0 <sup>1</sup> 132.9 <sup>2</sup>		(0.20) (0.20) (0.20) (0.40) (0.40) (0.40) 0.80 (0.10) (0.10) 1.00	Brown TOPSOIL with tree Firm brown slightly sandy subangular cobble content subangular to subrounded Firm to stiff brown sandy of cobble content. Gravels a subrounded. WEATHERED ROCK reco clayey sandy fine to coars Granite with medium suba subangular boulder content Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 1.00m Remarks No groundwater encountere Trial pit stable. Trial pit stable. Trial pit backfilled upon com	escription roots and rootlets. gravelly CLAY with low t. Gravels are fine to coarse t. gravelly CLAY with low subar fre fine to coarse subangular overed as light brown slightly e angular to subangular Gra ingular cobble and low nt.	Legend       agular       vel of       vel of
						s	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.
							1:25	AM	12844-05-23.TP1

	Grou	nd In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	4	Trial Pit Number TP13
Machine:3 Method :⊤	CX rial Pit	Dimens 2.40m	ions x 0.70m x 2.30m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD) 130.85	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-23
		Locatio	<b>n</b> 7873.4 E 725474.8 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Kater Sater
0.80	В1			130.65	(0.20) 0.20 (0.20) (0.90) (0.90)	Brown TOPSOIL with tree Firm to stiff brown slightly subangular cobble conten subangular to subrounded	roots and rootlets. sandy gravelly CLAY with Ic t. Gravels fine to coarse l.	₩
1.50	50			129.75	5 1.10 	WEATHERED ROCK reco clayey sandy fine to coars Granite with low subangul	overed as light brown slightl e angular to subangular Gra ar cobbles.	y avel of
1.50	B2			128.85	- (0.90) 	WEATHERED BOCK reco	overed as light brown slight!	****** ****** ****** ****** ****** *****
2.20	В3		Slow(1) at 2.10m.	128.65 128.55	(0.20) 2.20 (0.10) 2.30	Clayey sandy fine to coars Granite with medium suba subangular boulder content Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.30m	e angular to subang angular Gra Ingular cobble and low nt (wet).	avel of
Plan .					•••	Remarks Groundwater encountered v	vith slow inflow at 2.10m BG	GL.
		·		•		Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 2.30m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering c pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
		•						
		·			· · ·	Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP13

	Grou	nd In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd		Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	3	Trial Pi Numbe TP14	it er <b>4</b>
Machine : 3 Method : 7	BCX Trial Pit	Dimens 2.60m	ions < 0.70m x 0.90m (L x W x D)	Ground	<b>Level (n</b> 133.35	nOD)	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Numbe 12844-05	<b>er</b> 5-23
		Locatio	n 7886.8 E 725417.1 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	3	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Dep (m (Thickr	th ) 1ess)	D	escription	Legend	Water
0.50 Plan .	B1	-	rield Records	(mob) 133.1t 132.7t 132.5t 132.4t 132.4t		).20) ].20) ].20)].20)].20)].20)].20)].20)].20)].20)	Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm to stiff orangish brow are fine to coarse subangul Firm to stiff dark brown slig fine to coarse subangular WEATHERED ROCK recc sandy fine to medium suba Granite with low subangul Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 0.90m Remarks No groundwater encounterer Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 0.90m Trial pit backfilled upon com	escription ets. n slightly gravelly CLAY. Gravels to subrounded. ghtly gravelly CLAY. Gravels to subrounded. wered as light brown to yell angular to subrounded Grav ar cobble and boulder conte	vels	mathematical and the second se
						•				
· ·	· ·	•				•				
						s	icale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.	
							1:25	AM	12844-05-23.TF	P14

	Grou	nd Inv	/estigations lre www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyfor	3	Trial Pit Number TP15
Machine: 3 Method : T	SCX Trial Pit	Dimensio 2.60m x	ons 0.70m x 0.90m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD) 131.74	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-23
		Location 717	903.1 E 725448 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Kater Kater
0.50	В1		· · · ·	131.64 131.14 131.05 131.04		Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm to stiff brown slightly Gravels are fine to coarse low subrounded cobble co WEATHERED ROCK reco sandy fine to coarse angu Granite. Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 0.90m	lets. sandy slightly gravelly CLAN subangular to subrounded ontent.	A with A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
				•		Trial pit terminated at 0.70m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering c pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
		•	· · ·		· · ·			
					· · [	Scale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.
						1:25	AM	12844-05-23.TP15

	Grou	nd In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	1	Trial Pit Number <b>TP16</b>
Machine: 3 Method : T	CX rial Pit	Dimens 2.00m	<b>ions</b> x 0.70m x 1.90m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD) 132.95	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-23
		Locatio	<b>n</b> 7888.8 E 725362.2 N	Dates 27	7/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend Safe
0.50-1.00	В1			132.85	(0.10) 0.10 (1.40)	Brown TOPSOIL with root MADE GROUND: Dark br slightly sandy gravelly Cla boulder content and fragm are fine to coarse angular	lets. own mottled grey and black y with medium cobble and ients of timber and cloth. Gra to subangular.	avels
1.70	В2		Slow(1) at 1.90m.	131.45 131.15 131.05	- 1.50 - (0.30) - 1.80 - (0.10) - 1.90 - 1.90	WEATHERED ROCK reco sandy fine to medium sub Granite with medium cobb Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 1.90m	overed as light brown to yello angular to subrounded Grave le content.	welof
Plan					· · ·   '	Remarks Groundwater encountered v	vith slow inflow at 1.90m BGI	L.
						Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 1.90m Trial pit backfilled upon com	BGL due to encountering co pletion.	ompetent bedrock.
				•				
				•				
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.TP16











































TP10





Trial Pit Photographs – Blackglen Road Sandyford

























**TP16** 



APPENDIX 3 – Soakaway test Records





Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

## SA01

Soakaway Test to BRE Digest 365 Trial Pit Dimensions: 2.10m x 0.60m x 1.00m (L x W x D)

Date	Time	Water (m	r level bgl)
29/11/2023	0	-0.200	
29/11/2023	16	-0.200	
29/11/2023	26	-0.220	
29/11/2023	44	-0.200	
29/11/2023	147	-0.300	
29/11/2023	217	-0.330	
29/11/2023	269	-0.380	
		*Soakaway	/ failed - Pit backfilled

Start depth	Depth of Pit	Diff	75% full	25%full
0.20	1.000	0.800	0.4	0.8





Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

## SA02

Soakaway Test to BRE Digest 365 Trial Pit Dimensions: 2.20m x 0.60m x 2.10m (L x W x D)

Date	Time	Wate (m	r level bgl)
29/11/2023	0	-0.250	
29/11/2023	4	-0.400	
29/11/2023	33	-0.580	
29/11/2023	60	-0.770	
29/11/2023	139	-0.860	
29/11/2023	209	-0.930	
29/11/2023	271	-0.950	
		*Soakaway	y failed - Pit backfilled

Start depth	Depth of Pit	Diff	75% full	25%full
0.25	2.100	1.850	0.7125	1.6375



	Grou	nd Inv	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford	3	Trial Pit Number SA01A
Machine: 3 Method : T	CX irial Pit	Dimensi 2.20m x	ons 0.60m x 0.50m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD) 135.69	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-23
		Location 717	1 831.8 E 725453.1 N	Dates 28	8/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
Plan         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .           .         .				135.59		Brown TOPSOIL with tree Firm brown slightly sandy are fine to coarse angular WEATHERED ROCK reco Gravel of Granite. Gravels subangular. Obstruction: Bedrock Abandoned at 0.50m Abandoned at 0.50m Remarks No groundwater encounterer Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 0.50m Trial pit backfilled upon com	roots and rootlets. slightly gravelly CLAY. Grav to subangular. pered as light brown sandy are fine to coarse angular d. BGL due to encountering c pletion.	els
						1:25	АМ	12844-05-23.SA01

	Grou	ind In	vestigations Ire www.gii.ie	land	Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyfor	d	Trial Pit Number SA01B
Machine: 3 Method : T	CX Trial Pit	Dimens 2.10m	ions < 0.60m x 1.00m (L x W x D)	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown	County Council	Job Number 12844-05-23
		Locatio	n	Dates 28	3/11/2023	Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pa	rtners Consulting	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend Safe
Plan .				·	(0.15) (0.45) (0.45) (0.30) (0.30) (0.10)	Brown TOPSOIL with root Firm brown slightly sandy are fine to coarse angular WEATHERED ROCK reco Gravel of Granite with me cobble content. Gravels a subangular. Obstruction: Bedrock Complete at 1.00m Complete at 1.00m Remarks No groundwater encountere Trial pit stable. Trial pit terminated at 1.0m Trial pit backfilled upon corr	lets. slightly gravelly CLAY. Grav to subangular. overed as light brown sandy dium angular to subangular re fine to coarse angular to	rels
						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By AM	Figure No. 12844-05-23.SA01E

Machine : 3CX Method : Trial Pit     Dimensions 2.20m x 0.60m x 2.10m (L x W x D)     Ground Level (mOD) 129.97     Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown Council     Job Number 1284405.23       Location 717908.3 E 725466.1 N     Dates 28/11/2023     Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting     1/1       Depth (m)     Sample / Tests     Water (m)     Field Records     Level (mOD)     Depth (m)     Depth (m)     Description     Legent (m)       129.97     0.20     (0.20)     Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with low subangular cobble content. Gravels are fine to coarse     (0.20)       128.87     1.10     (0.90)     WEATHERED ROCK recovered as light brown sandy Grave of Grante with low subangular cobble content. Gravels are fine to coarse angular to subangular.     Trip       Weather(1) at 2.00m.     127.97 127.87     (2.00)     Obstruction: Bedrock. Complete at 2.10m     Complete at 2.10m
Location 717908.3 E 725466.1 N         Dates 28/11/2023         Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting         Sheet 1/1           Depth (m)         Sample / Tests         Weter (m)         Field Records         Level (mOD)         Depth (Thickness)         Depth (Thickness)         Description         Legent (a)         Egent (a)         Brown TOPSOLL with rootlets.         Image: Constraint of the
Depth (m)         Sample / Tests         Water (m)         Field Records         Level (m00)         Depth (m)         Description         Legend         Median           129.77         0.20         129.77         0.20         Brown TOPSOIL with rootlets.         Image: construction of the province of the provi
Moderate(1) at 2.00m.       127.97       (0.20) (0.20)       Brown TOPSOL with rootlets.         Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravely CLAY with low subangular to subrounded.       (0.90)         128.87       1.10         WEATHERED ROCK recovered as light brown sandy Gravel of Granite with low subangular cobble content. Gravel of Granite with low subangular.         (0.90)       (0.90)         128.87       1.10         WEATHERED ROCK recovered as light brown sandy Gravel of Granite with low subangular.         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (127.97       (2.00)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (127.97       (2.00)         (0.90)       (0.90)         (2.10)       (0.90)         (2.10)       (0.90)
128.87       1.10         WEATHERED ROCK recovered as light brown sandy Gravel of Granite with low subangular cobble content. Gravels are fine to coarse angular to subangular.         (0.90)         127.97         (0.90)         127.97         (0.90)         127.87         2.00         Obstruction: Bedrock.         Complete at 2.10m
Moderate(1) at 2.00m.     127.97     2.00     Obstruction: Bedrock.     V1       127.87     2.10     Complete at 2.10m     Complete at 2.10m
Plan
Trial pit sidewalls spalling.         Trial pit terminated at 2.10m BGL due to encountering competent bedrock.         Trial pit backfilled upon completion.
.     .



SA01A



SA01B


SA01B



SA02



SA02

**APPENDIX 4** - Rotary Borehole Records



	Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd					Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford				
Machine : Beretta T-41 Flush : Water Core Dia: 96 mm Method : Rotary Cored			Casing Diameter 100mm cased to 5.50m Location 717721.9 E 725460.3 N			Ground Level (mOD)           142.40           Dates           11/12/2023		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council			ob umber 344-05-23
		d						Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting		S	<b>Sheet</b> 1/1
Depth (m)	TCR (%)	SCR (%)	RQD (%)	FI	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Instr
0.00 2.50 4.00	30	100	79	9		142.30 142.20 139.90	(1)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)	Brown TOPSOIL with grass and roots Soft brown slightly sandy CLAY Weathered rock recovered as slightly clayey slightly sandy gravelly COBBLES AND BOULDERS of granite Medium strong white to cream mottled grey and pink crystalline coarse grained GRANITE slightly weathered 2.50m BGL to 4.00m BGL: Sequence consists of one fracture set. F1: dipping 0-30 degrees, very close to closely spaced, undulating to planar rough with some surface staining.			
5.50 Remarks	100	95	38	13		136.90		<ul> <li>4.00m BGL to 5.50m BGL: Sequence consists of two fracture sets. F1: dipping 0-30 degrees, very close to medium spaced, undulating to planar rough with some surface staining. F2: dipping 40-70 degrees, close to medium spaced, undulating to planar rough with some surface staining.</li> <li>Complete at 5.50m</li> </ul>			
Rotary corir Standpipe in cover	ng drilling ca nstalled in b	arried out oorehole u	to 5.50m l Ipon comp	BGL bletion; SI	otted from 5.50m BG	iL to 1.00m	ı BGL; Plain fr	om 1.00m BGL to Ground Level with a raised	Scale (approx)	B	ogged y JI
									Figure 1 12844-0	<b>No.</b> )5-2:	3.BH01

Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd							Site Blackglen Road Sandyford		Boreho Numbe BH02	ole r 2		
Machine : B	eretta T-41		Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Level (mOl	<b>)</b> )	Client		Job Numbe	
Flush : Water		100mm cased to 5.50m			135.29			Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council		12844-05	-23	
Method : Rotary Cored		d	Location 717839.8 E 725454 N			Dates 11/12/2023			Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting		Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	TCR (%)	SCR (%)	RQD (%)	FI	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thicknes	s)	Description		Legend	Water
0.00	74		34			133.69	(1.60	))	Weathered rock recovered as slightly clayey sligh gravelly COBBLES AND BOULDERS of granite Medium strong white to cream mottled grey and p crystalline coarse grained GRANITE slightly weat	tly sandy ink hered		
2.50	100		95	4				))	1.60m BGL to 5.50m BGL: Sequence consists of fracture sets. F1: dipping 0-30 degrees, medium widely spaced, undulating to planar rough with s surface staining. F2: dipping 70-90 degrees, me widely spaced, undulating to planar rough with s	if two h to slight sdium to slight	<ul> <li></li> <li><td></td></li></ul>	
4.00	100		100						surfaće staining.	5		
5.50						129.79		) -	Complete at 5.50m			
Remarks Rotary coring Borehole bac	g drilling ca ckfilled upo	arried out t on complet	to 5.50m I tion	BGL						Scale (approx)	Logged By	ł
										1:50	JI 0.	
										12844-0	5-23.BH0	2

Machine : Bereits T-11 Tium : Water Score Dia: 90 mm         Casing Diameter 100mm cased to 7.00m         Ground Level (mOD 131:35         Cleent Dan Laghaire Rathdown County Council         Author Mumber 1284-405-23           Degith Replin         TCR (Y)         SCR (Y)         RG (Y)         Fit         Field Records         Image: Construction (MOD)         Data 131:35         Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Pathors Consuling         Sheet           0:00         TCR (Y)         SCR (Y)         RG (Y)         Fit         Field Records         Image: Consult (MOD)         Sheet         Sheet           0:00         TCR (Y)         SCR (Y)         RG (Y)         Fit         Field Records         Image: Consult (MOD)         Sheet         Sheet         Sheet         Sheet           0:00         TCR (Y)         SCR (Y)         RG (Y)         Field Records         Image: Consult (MD)         Sheet		Ground Investigations Ireland Ltd					Ltd	Site Blackglen Road Sandyford			Borehole Number BH03	
Location       Location       Prime       Transist E 72460 1 N       Dates       Engineer       Engineer       Engineer       Engineer       Sheet 1/11         perh       T(8)       (%)       (%)       PI       Feld Records       (mOB)       Description       Legend $\frac{3}{2}$ Instr         100       1       Image: Sheet 1/2/22023       Image: Sheet 1/2/22023<	Machine : E Flush : V	Beretta T-41 Vater		Casing Diameter 100mm cased to 7.00m			Ground Level (mOD) 131.58		Client Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council			ob Iumber 344-05-23
Open- (%)CCR (%)COD (%)FIField RecordsIc/OS (%)Open- (%)DescriptionLogenN $100$ $32$ $2$ $2$ $3$ $31.43$ ( $31.33$ ) $4$ $31.43$ ( $31.33$ ) $31.43$ ( $31.43$ ) $31.$	Method : Rotary Cored		d	Location 717883.6 E 725460.1 N			Dates 12/12/2023		Engineer Kavanagh Mansfield & Partners Consulting		S	<b>heet</b> 1/1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Depth (m)	TCR (%)	SCR (%)	RQD (%)	FI	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water	Instr
2.50       Image: Strong Very Strong White to cream motited grey and pink crystalline coarse grained GRANTE signal white sort	0.00	32					131.48 131.33		Brown TOPSOIL with grass and roots ] Soft brown slightly sandy CLAY Weathered rock recovered as sandy GRAVEL of granite			
4.00       127.58       4.00         4.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       43         1.50       67       51       67         1.50       67       51       67       51         1.50       67       51       67       51         1.50       60       60       60       60         1.50       60       60       60       60         1.	2.50	100	92	79	3		128.98	2.60	Strong to very strong white to cream mottled grey and pink crystalline coarse grained GRANITE slightly weathered 2.50m BGL to 4.00m BGL: Sequence consists of one fracture set. F1 dipping 0-20 degrees, close to widely spaced, undulating to planar rough, with some surface staining. 1 fracture at 2.80m BGL dipping 40-50 degrees, undulating to planar rough with some surface staining		• • • •	
4.50       67       51       43         5.50	4.00				NI		127.58	4.00 (0.50)	Weathered rock recovered as sandy GRAVEL of granite 4.00m BGL to 4.50m BGL: Not intact			
5.50	4.50	67	51	43			127.08		Medium strong white to cream mottled grey and pink crystalline coarse grained GRANITE slightly weathered 4.50m BGL to 7.00m BGL: Sequence consists of two fracture sets. F1 dipping 0-30 degrees, close to medium spaced, undulating to planar		-	
7.00	5.50	100	100	82	4				40-60 degrees, close to medium spaced, undulating to planar rough with slight surface staining			
	7.00						124.58		Complete at 7.00m			<u>2000 [2006</u>
Remarks       Scale (approx)         Rotary coring drilling carried out to 7.00m BGL       Scale (approx)         Standpipe installed in borehole upon completion; Slotted from 7.00m BGL to 1.00m BGL; Plain from 1.00m BGL to Ground Level with a raised cover       Scale (approx)	Remarks Rotary coring drilling carried out to 7.00m BGL Standpipe installed in borehole upon completion; Slotted from 7.00m BGL to 1.00m BGL; Plain from 1.00m BGL to Ground Level with a raised cover							Scale (approx)	B	ogged y		
1:50     JI       Figure No.										1:50 Figure 1	No.	

<b>BH01</b>	
-------------	--



**BH01** 

GOUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND Geotechnical & Environmental	
Client: DUN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	Job Ref: 12844 - 05 - 23
Site: BLACKGLEN ROAD, SANDY FORD	Date: 11/12/2023
Borehole ref: BH01	Depth: From 4.50 m to 5.50 m BGL
Box No: 2 of 2	
CM 10 20 30 40	50 60 70 80 90 100
A	
5.5 M	
D \$ 2 3 4 5 16 77 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	20 31 22 23 510 25 20 37 20 20 30 31 32 33 34 25 510 37 38 39 43 43 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

## Blackglen Road, Sandyford Rotary Core Photographs

GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND Gestechnical & Environmental	
Client: DUN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	Job Ref: 12844-05-23
Site: BLACKGLEN ROAD, SANDY FORD	Date: 11/12/2023
Borehole ref: BH02	Depth: From 0.00m to 3.60m BGL
Box No: 1 of 2	
CM 10 20 30 40	50 60 70 80 90 100
ROMA IN	AT STATIO
	2.5m

### BH02

GROUND INVE Geotechnie	STIGATIONS IRELA	ND		
Client:	DUN LAC	GHAIRE	COUNTY COUNCIL	Job Ref: 12844 - 05 - 23
Site:	BLACKGLE	EN ROAD, S	GAND Y FORD	Date: 11/12/2023
Boreho	ole ref:	BH02		Depth: From 3.60 m to 5.50 m BGL
Box No	o:	2 0	of 2	
CM 1	0 2	0 3		50 60 70 80 90 100
			4.0M	
1	A- CORE			E Ga
10				

BH03							
GROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND Geotechnical & Environmental							
Client: DUN LAOGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Job Ref: 12844-05-23							
Site: BLACKGLEN ROAD, SANDY FORD Date: 11/12/2023							
Borehole ref: BH03 Depth: From 0.00 m to 5.00 m BGL							
Box No: 1 of 2							
CM 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100							
2 5m							
4"							

#### ROUND INVESTIGATIONS IRELAND Client: DUN LADGHAIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Job Ref: 12844 - 05-23 Date: 11/12/2023 Site: BLACKGLEN ROAD, SANDY FORD Depth: From 500m to 700m BGL Borehole ref: BH03 2 Box No: of 2 10 40 СМ 30 50 60 100 20 80 90 and a land a anda anda anda a 5.5M

BH03

# APPENDIX 5 – TRL Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Records





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR01
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	2	50.0	2.9
200	3	33.3	4.8
300	4	25.0	7.0
400	9	11.1	19.7
500	5	20.0	9.3
600	5	20.0	9.3
700	8	12.5	16.9
800	8	12.5	16.9
900	-		
1000	-		
1100	-		
1200	-		
1300	-		
1400	-		
1500	-		

### Reference

Kleyn and Van Heerden (60° Cone)

Formula

Log10 (CBR) = 2.632 - 1.28 Log10 (mm/blow)





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR02
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	1	100.0	1.2
200	3	33.3	4.8
300	3	33.3	4.8
400	25	4.0	72.7

#### Reference Kleyn and Van Heerden (60° Cone)









Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR03
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	2	50.0	2.9
200	2	50.0	2.9
300	2	50.0	2.9
400	3	33.3	4.8
500	4	25.0	7.0
600	5	20.0	9.3
700	4	25.0	7.0
800	2	50.0	2.9

#### **Reference** Formula





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR04B
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	1	100.0	1.2
200	1	100.0	1.2
300	4	25.0	7.0
400	3	33.3	4.8
500	3	33.3	4.8
600	25	4.0	72.7

# Reference

Formula





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR05
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	3	33.3	4.8
200	3	33.3	4.8
300	3	33.3	4.8
400	3	33.3	4.8
500	25	4.0	72.7

#### Reference Kleyn and Van Heerden (60° Cone)

Formula

Log10 (CBR) = 2.632 - 1.28 Log10 (mm/blow)





Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR06
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	2	50.0	2.9
200	2	50.0	2.9
300	5	20.0	9.3
400	7	14.3	14.2
500	11	9.1	25.4
600	3	33.3	4.8
700	25	4.0	72.7

#### **Reference** Formula





Tel: 01 601 5175 / 5176 Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR07
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	3	33.3	4.8
200	3	33.3	4.8
300	4	25.0	7.0
400	3	33.3	4.8
500	4	25.0	7.0
600	25	4.0	72.7

# ReferenceKleyn aFormulaLog10 (





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR08A
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	4	25.0	7.0
200	2	50.0	2.9
300	20	5.0	54.6
400	25	4.0	72.7

# Reference

Formula





01 601 5175 / 5176 Tel: Email: info@gii.ie Web: www.gii.ie

Job Name	Blackglen Road Sandyford	Test Type	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
Job No.	12844-05-23	Test Reference	CBR08A
Client	DLRCC	Ву	A Molloy
		Date	28/11/2023

Initial Depth 0.20m BGL

Depth (mm bgl)	No. of Blows per 100mm	Penetration per Blow (mm)	CBR (%)
0	-	-	0.0
100	4	25.0	7.0
200	4	25.0	7.0
300	12	8.3	28.4
400	21	4.8	58.1
500	25	4.0	72.7

## Reference





# **APPENDIX 6** – Laboratory Testing Records





Element Materials Technology Unit 3 Deeside Point Zone 3 Deeside Industrial Park Deeside CH5 2UA P: +44 (0) 1244 833780 F: +44 (0) 1244 833781

W: www.element.com

Ground Investigations Ireland Catherinestown House Hazelhatch Road Newcastle Co. Dublin Ireland D22 K5P8		AC-MRA	UKAS TESTING 4225
Attention :	Annmarie Molloy		
Date :	15th December, 2023		
Your reference :	12844-05-23		
Our reference :	Test Report 23/20422 Batch 1		
Location :	Blackglen Road Sandyford		
Date samples received :	4th December, 2023		
Status :	Final Report		
Issue :	202312151313		

Three samples were received for analysis on 4th December, 2023 of which three were scheduled for analysis. Please find attached our Test Report which should be read with notes at the end of the report and should include all sections if reproduced. Interpretations and opinions are outside the scope of any accreditation, and all results relate only to samples supplied.

All analysis is carried out on as received samples and reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Results are not surrogate corrected.

The greenhouse gas emissions generated (in Carbon - Co2e) to obtain the results in this report are estimated as:

Scope 1&2 emissions - 14.77 kg of CO2

Scope 1&2&3 emissions - 34.904 kg of CO2

Authorised By:

Phil Sommerton BSc Senior Project Manager

Please include all sections of this report if it is reproduced

Client Name:
Reference:
Location:
Contact:
EMT Job No:

Ground Investigations Ireland 12844-05-23 Blackglen Road Sandyford Annmarie Molloy 23/20422

#### Report : Solid

EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12													
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16													
Depth	0.50	1.50	0.50-1.00								Please se	Please see attached notes for a abbreviations and acronyms				
COC No / misc											abbrevi					
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT								1					
Sample Date	20/11/2022	20/11/2022	20/11/2022								1					
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023													
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil								<b> </b>		1			
Batch Number	1	1	1								LOD/LOR	Units	Method			
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023										NO.			
Antimony	2	<1	1								<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Arsenic <sup>#</sup>	77.7	63.9	75.8								<0.5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Barium <sup>#</sup>	72	16	53								<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Cadmium <sup>#</sup>	0.8	<0.1	0.6								<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Chromium *	41.2	33.1	32.5								<0.5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Copper"	27	6	13								<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Lead "	50	<5	30								<5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Melvbdonum#	3.4	2.8	23								<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Nickel <sup>#</sup>	29.0	6.6	13.0								<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
Selenium <sup>#</sup>	2	<1	<1								<1	ma/ka	TM30/PM15			
Zinc <sup>#</sup>	123	18	69								<5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15			
PAH MS																
Naphthalene <sup>#</sup>	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Acenaphthylene	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03								<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Acenaphthene <sup>#</sup>	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05								<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Fluorene #	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Phenanthrene <sup>#</sup>	0.28	<0.03	<0.03								<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Anthracene #	0.09	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Fluoranthene <sup>#</sup>	1.46	<0.03	0.07								<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Pyrene <sup>#</sup>	1.16	<0.03	0.06								<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Benzo(a)anthracene <sup>#</sup>	0.84	< 0.06	0.07								<0.06	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Chrysene"	0.75	<0.02	0.05								<0.02	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Benzo(bk)fluoranthene"	1.68	< 0.07	<0.07								<0.07	mg/kg				
Benzo(a)pyrene "	0.87	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg				
Dibonzo(ab)anthracono#	0.14	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg				
Benzo(ghi)pervlene <sup>#</sup>	0.15	<0.04	<0.04								<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Coronene	0.09	< 0.04	< 0.04								<0.04	ma/ka	TM4/PM8			
PAH 6 Total <sup>#</sup>	5.23	<0.22	<0.22								<0.22	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
PAH 17 Total	8.57	<0.64	<0.64								<0.64	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.21	<0.05	<0.05								<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.47	<0.02	<0.02								<0.02	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
Benzo(j)fluoranthene	<1	<1	<1								<1	mg/kg	TM4/PM8			
PAH Surrogate % Recovery	104	101	101								<0	%	TM4/PM8			
Mineral Oil (C10-C40) (EH_CU_1D_AL)	<30	<30	<30								<30	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16			
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	í –	1			



Ground Investigations Ireland 12844-05-23 Blackglen Road Sandyford Annmarie Molloy 23/20422

#### Report : Solid

EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12								
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16								
Denth	0.50	1 50	0.50-1.00				 				
	0.00		0.00 1.00				 Please se abbrevi	otes for all cronyms			
COC No / misc									,		
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT		 						
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023								
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil								
Batch Number	1	1	1					l lucitor	Method		
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023				LOD/LOR	Units	No.		
TPH CWG											
Aliphatics											
>C5-C6 (HS_1D_AL) <sup>#</sup>	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>C6-C8 (HS_1D_AL) <sup>#</sup>	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>C8-C10 (HS_1D_AL)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>C10-C12 (EH_CU_1D_AL) <sup>#</sup>	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2				 <0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>C12-C16 (EH_CU_1D_AL) <sup>#</sup>	8	<4	<4				<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>C16-C21 (EH_CU_1D_AL)*	<7	<7	<7		 		 <7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>C21-C35 (EH_CU_1D_AL)*	<7	<7	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>C35-C40 (EH_CU_1D_AL)	<7	<7	<7				 <7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
Total aliphatics C5-40 (EH_CU+HS_1D_AL)	<26	<26	<26				<26	mg/kg	TM5/TM36/PM8/PM12/PM16		
>C6-C10 (HS_1D_AL)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>C10-C25 (EH_CU_ID_AL)	<10	<10	<10				 <10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
Aromatics	< 10	<10	<10				<10	mg/kg	TWO/FWIO/FWIO		
>C5-EC7 (HS 1D AR)#	<0.1+	<0.1+	<0.1				<0.1	ma/ka	TM36/PM12		
>EC7-EC8 (HS_1D_AR)#	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				 <0.1	ma/ka	TM36/PM12		
>EC8-EC10 (HS 1D AR)#	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>EC10-EC12 (EH CU 1D AR)#	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2				<0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>EC12-EC16 (EH CU 1D AR)#	8	<4	<4				<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>EC16-EC21 (EH_CU_1D_AR) <sup>#</sup>	36	<7	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>EC21-EC35 (EH_CU_1D_AR)#	182	<7	69				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>EC35-EC40 (EH_CU_1D_AR)	13	<7	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
Total aromatics C5-40 (EH_CU+HS_1D_AR)	239	<26	69				<26	mg/kg	TM5/TM36/PM8/PM12/PM16		
Total aliphatics and aromatics(C5-40) (EH_CU+HS_1D_Total)	239	<52	69				<52	mg/kg	TM5/TM36/PM8/PM12/PM16		
>EC6-EC10 (HS_1D_AR) <sup>#</sup>	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12		
>EC10-EC25 (EH_CU_1D_AR)	69	<10	<10				 <10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
>EC25-EC35 (EH_CU_1D_AR)	112	<10	52				<10	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16		
MTBE <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12		
Benzene *	<5	<5	<5				 <5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12		
Toluene"	103	<5	56				<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12		
Ethylbenzene "	14	<5	<5				<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12		
m/p-Xylene "	<0	<0	<0				<0 -5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12		
o-Xylene	<5	<5	<5				~5	ug/kg	110130/P10112		
PCB 28 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ua/ka	TM17/PM8		
PCB 52 #	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/ka	TM17/PM8		
PCB 101 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/ka	TM17/PM8		
PCB 118 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/ka	TM17/PM8		
PCB 138 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8		
PCB 153 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8		
PCB 180 <sup>#</sup>	<5	<5	<5				<5	ug/kg	TM17/PM8		
Total 7 PCBs <sup>#</sup>	<35	<35	<35				<35	ug/kg	TM17/PM8		

Client Name:
Reference:
Location:
Contact:
EMT Job No:

Ground Investigations Ireland 12844-05-23 Blackglen Road Sandyford Annmarie Molloy 23/20422

#### Report : Solid

EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12						
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16						
Depth	0.50	1.50	0.50-1.00				Diagon on	a attached n	otoo for all
COC No / misc							 abbrevi	e allached n ations and a	cronyms
Containara	VIT	VIT	N/IT						
Containers	VJI	VJI	VJI						
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023						
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil						
Batch Number	1	1	1						Method
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023				LOD/LOR	Units	No.
Natural Moisture Content	29.3	7.6	23.8				<0.1	%	PM4/PM0
Moisture Content (% Wet Weight)	22.6	7.1	19.2				<0.1	%	PM4/PM0
Hexavalent Chromium <sup>#</sup>	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3				<0.3	mg/kg	TM38/PM20
Sulphate as SO4 (2:1 Ext) <sup>#</sup>	0.0418	0.0031	0.0230				<0.0015	g/l	TM38/PM20
Chromium III	41.2	33.1	32.5				<0.5	mg/kg	NONE/NONE
Total Organic Carbon <sup>#</sup>	3.28	0.03	2.16				<0.02	%	TM21/PM24
рН <sup>#</sup>	7 39	8.05	7.87				<0.01	nH units	TM73/PM11
pi i	1.00	0.00	1.01				0.01	prraimo	
Asbestos Type*	NAD	NAD	NAD					None	Subcontracted



г

Ground Investigations Ireland 12844-05-23 Blackglen Road Sandyford Annmarie Molloy 23/20422

#### Report : CEN 10:1 1 Batch

Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub

EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12						
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16						
Depth	0.50	1.50	0.50-1.00				Please se	e attached n	otes for all
COC No / misc							abbrevi	ations and a	cronyms
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT						
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023						
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil						
Batak Namba	001						 		
Batch Number	1	1	1				 LOD/LOR	Units	Method No
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023				ļ		
Dissolved Antimony <sup>#</sup>	0.004	<0.002	0.003				<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Antimony (A10)*	0.04	<0.02	0.03				<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Arsenic"	0.0287	0.0115	0.0152				<0.0025	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Arsenic (A10)"	0.287	0.115	0.152				<0.025	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Barium"	0.016	0.004	0.006				<0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Barium (A10)"	0.16	0.04	0.06			 	 <0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Cadmium*	< 0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005				<0.0005	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Cadmium (A10)*	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005				<0.005	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Chromium*	< 0.0015	<0.0015	<0.0015				<0.0015	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Chromium (A10)"	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015				<0.015	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Copper*	0.010	<0.007	<0.007				<0.007	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Copper (A10)*	0.10	<0.07	<0.07				<0.07	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Lead *	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005				<0.005	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Lead (A10)*	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05				<0.05	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Molybdenum*	0.013	<0.002	0.004				<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Molybdenum (A10)*	0.13	<0.02	0.04				<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Nickel <sup>#</sup>	0.005	<0.002	<0.002				<0.002	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Nickel (A10)*	0.05	<0.02	<0.02				<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Selenium*	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003				<0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Selenium (A10)*	< 0.03	<0.03	<0.03				<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Zinc <sup>#</sup>	0.007	0.006	0.003				<0.003	mg/l	TM30/PM17
Dissolved Zinc (A10)*	0.07	0.06	0.03				<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Mercury Dissolved by CVAF *	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001				<0.00001	mg/l	TM61/PM0
Mercury Dissolved by CVAF *	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001				<0.0001	mg/kg	TM61/PM0
Phenol	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01				<0.01	mg/l	TM26/PM0
Phenol	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM26/PM0
Fluoride	0.3	<0.3	0.4				<0.3	mg/l	TM173/PM0
Fluoride	3	<3	4				<3	mg/kg	TM173/PM0
Sulphate as SO4 #	<0.5	0.7	2.3				<0.5	mg/l	TM38/PM0
Sulphate as SO4 #	<5	7	23				<5	mg/kg	TM38/PM0
Mass of raw test portion	0.1168	0.0994	0.1063					kg	NONE/PM17
Chloride <sup>#</sup>	4.0	<0.3	1.3				<0.3	mg/l	TM38/PM0
Chloride <sup>#</sup>	40	<3	13				<3	mg/kg	TM38/PM0
Mass of dried test portion	0.09	0.09	0.09					kg	NONE/PM17
Dissolved Organic Carbon	29	<2	6				<2	mg/l	TM60/PM0
Dissolved Organic Carbon	290	<20	60				<20	mg/kg	TM60/PM0
pН	8.24	8.01	8.16				<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM0

Client Name:
Reference:
Location:
Contact:
EMT Job No:

Ground Investigations Ireland 12844-05-23 Blackglen Road Sandyford Annmarie Molloy 23/20422

#### Report: CEN 10:1 1 Batch

EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12									
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16									
Depth	0.50	1.50	0.50-1.00				Please se	e attached n	otes for all			
COC No / misc							 abbrevi	ations and ad	cronyms			
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT									
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023									
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil									
Batch Number	1	1	1						Method			
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023				LOD/LOR	Units	No.			
Total Dissolved Solids #	125	<35	74				<35	mg/l	TM20/PM0			
Total Dissolved Solids <sup>#</sup>	1250	<350	740				<350	mg/kg	TM20/PM0			

<b>Element Material</b>	s Tech	nology	,												
Client Name: Reference: Location: Contact:	Ground In 12844-05 Blackglen Annmarie	nvestigation -23 I Road Sar Molloy	ns Ireland ndyford			Report : Solids: V=	<b>EN12457</b> _	<b>_2</b> r, J=250g gl	ass jar, T=p	lastic tub					
EMT Job No:	23/20422			1	1	-			-						
EMT Sample No.	1-4	5-8	9-12												
Sample ID	TP-01	TP-13	TP-16												
Denth	0.50	1.50	0.50-1.00												
	0.50	1.50	0.30-1.00										Please se abbrevi	e attached n ations and a	otes for all cronyms
COC NO / MISC															
Containers	VJI	VJI	VJI												
Sample Date	29/11/2023	29/11/2023	29/11/2023												
Sample Type	Soil	Soil	Soil												
Batch Number	1	1	1							Inort	Stable Non-	Hozordous		Unite	Method
Date of Receipt	04/12/2023	04/12/2023	04/12/2023							inter c	reactive	Hazai uuus	LOD LOK	Onits	No.
Solid Waste Analysis															
Total Organic Carbon <sup>#</sup>	3.28	0.03	2.16							3	5	6	<0.02	%	TM21/PM24
Sum of BTEX	0.117	<0.025	0.056							6	-	-	<0.025	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
Sum of 7 PCBs#	< 0.035	< 0.035	<0.035							1	-	-	< 0.035	mg/kg	TM17/PM8
Mineral OII	<30 5.23	<30	<30							500	-	-	<30	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
PAH Sum of 17	8.57	<0.64	<0.22							100			<0.22	ma/ka	TM4/PM8
CEN 10:1 Leachate															
Arsenic #	0.287	0.115	0.152							0.5	2	25	<0.025	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Barium #	0.16	0.04	0.06							20	100	300	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Cadmium #	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005							0.04	1	5	<0.005	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Chromium #	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015							0.5	10	70	<0.015	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Copper <sup>#</sup>	0.10	< 0.07	< 0.07							2	50	100	< 0.07	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Mercury"	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.0001							0.01	0.2	2	<0.0001	mg/kg	TM61/PM0
Molybdenum	0.13	<0.02	<0.04							0.5	10	40	<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Lead#	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05							0.5	10	50	<0.05	ma/ka	TM30/PM17
Antimony <sup>#</sup>	0.04	<0.02	0.03							0.06	0.7	5	<0.02	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Selenium #	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03							0.1	0.5	7	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Zinc <sup>#</sup>	0.07	0.06	0.03							4	50	200	<0.03	mg/kg	TM30/PM17
Total Dissolved Solids#	1250	<350	740							4000	60000	100000	<350	mg/kg	TM20/PM0
Dissolved Organic Carbon	290	<20	60							500	800	1000	<20	mg/kg	TM60/PM0
Mass of raw test portion	0.1168	0.0994	0.1063							-	-	-	-0.1	kg	NONE/PM17
Leachant Volume	10.1	90.6	0.894							-	-	-	<u.1< td=""><td>% I</td><td>NONE/PM4</td></u.1<>	% I	NONE/PM4
	0.075	0.031	0.004							-	-	-			NONE/FWIT/
Moisture Content 105C (% Dry Weight)	30.3	10.4	17.8							-	-	-	<0.1	%	PM4/PM0
рН#	7.39	8.05	7.87							-	-	-	<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM11
Phenol	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1							1	-	-	<0.1	mg/kg	TM26/PM0
Huoride	3	<3	4							10	150	500	<3	mg/kg	TM173/PM0
Sulphoto oo SO 4#	<5	7	22							1000	20000	50000	<5	mc/ka	TM38/DM0
Chloride #	40	<3	13							800	15000	25000	<3	ma/ka	TM38/PM0
Gridide			10									20000			11100/11/10

B. A		0 - 11 -1	
MOTIV		Solia	
	-	JUILU	
	-		

Client Name:	Ground Investigations Ireland
Reference:	12844-05-23
Location:	Blackglen Road Sandyford
Contact:	Annmarie Molloy

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	EPH Interpretation
23/20422	1	TP-01	0.50	1-4	PAH's & Naturally occurring compounds
23/20422	1	TP-13	1.50	5-8	No interpretation possible
23/20422	1	TP-16	0.50-1.00	9-12	Possible PAH's & Naturally occurring compounds

Client Name:Ground Investigations IrelandReference:12844-05-23Location:Blackglen Road SandyfordContact:Annmarie Molloy

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Analysis	Reason					
	No deviating sample report results for job 23/20422										

Please note that only samples that are deviating are mentioned in this report. If no samples are listed it is because none were deviating. Only analyses which are accredited are recorded as deviating if set criteria are not met.

It is a requirement under ISO 17025 that we inform clients if samples are deviating i.e. outside what is expected. A deviating sample indicates that the sample 'may' be compromised but not necessarily will be compromised. The result is still accredited and our analytical reports will still show accreditation on the relevant analytes.

### NOTES TO ACCOMPANY ALL SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

**EMT Job No.:** 23/20422

#### SOILS and ASH

Please note we are only MCERTS accredited (UK soils only) for sand, loam and clay and any other matrix is outside our scope of accreditation.

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation has been performed on clay, sand and loam, only samples that are predominantly these matrices, or combinations of them will be within our MCERTS scope. If samples are not one of a combination of the above matrices they will not be marked as MCERTS accredited.

It is assumed that you have taken representative samples on site and require analysis on a representative subsample. Stones will generally be included unless we are requested to remove them.

All samples will be discarded one month after the date of reporting, unless we are instructed to the contrary. Asbestos samples are retained for 6 months.

If you have not already done so, please send us a purchase order if this is required by your company.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

All analysis is reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Limits of detection for analyses carried out on as received samples are not moisture content corrected. Results are not surrogate corrected. Samples are dried at  $35^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$  unless otherwise stated. Moisture content for CEN Leachate tests are dried at  $105^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ . Ash samples are dried at  $37^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ .

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

Where a CEN 10:1 ZERO Headspace VOC test has been carried out, a 10:1 ratio of water to wet (as received) soil has been used.

% Asbestos in Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) is determined by reference to HSG 264 The Survey Guide - Appendix 2 : ACMs in buildings listed in order of ease of fibre release.

Sufficient amount of sample must be received to carry out the testing specified. Where an insufficient amount of sample has been received the testing may not meet the requirements of our accredited methods, as such accreditation may be removed.

Negative Neutralization Potential (NP) values are obtained when the volume of NaOH (0.1N) titrated (pH 8.3) is greater than the volume of HCI (1N) to reduce the pH of the sample to 2.0 - 2.5. Any negative NP values are corrected to 0.

The calculation of Pyrite content assumes that all oxidisable sulphides present in the sample are pyrite. This may not be the case. The calculation may be an overesitimate when other sulphides such as Barite (Barium Sulphate) are present.

#### WATERS

Please note we are not a UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) Approved Laboratory .

ISO17025 accreditation applies to surface water and groundwater and usually one other matrix which is analysis specific, any other liquids are outside our scope of accreditation.

As surface waters require different sample preparation to groundwaters the laboratory must be informed of the water type when submitting samples.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

#### STACK EMISSIONS

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation for Dioxins and Furans and Dioxin like PCBs has been performed on XAD-2 Resin, only samples which use this resin will be within our MCERTS scope.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

#### **DEVIATING SAMPLES**

All samples should be submitted to the laboratory in suitable containers with sufficient ice packs to sustain an appropriate temperature for the requested analysis. The temperature of sample receipt is recorded on the confirmation schedules in order that the client can make an informed decision as to whether testing should still be undertaken.

#### SURROGATES

Surrogate compounds are added during the preparation process to monitor recovery of analytes. However low recovery in soils is often due to peat, clay or other organic rich matrices. For waters this can be due to oxidants, surfactants, organic rich sediments or remediation fluids. Acceptable limits for most organic methods are 70 - 130% and for VOCs are 50 - 150%. When surrogate recoveries are outside the performance criteria but the associated AQC passes this is assumed to be due to matrix effect. Results are not surrogate corrected.

#### DILUTIONS

A dilution suffix indicates a dilution has been performed and the reported result takes this into account. No further calculation is required.

#### BLANKS

Where analytes have been found in the blank, the sample will be treated in accordance with our laboratory procedure for dealing with contaminated blanks.

#### NOTE

Data is only reported if the laboratory is confident that the data is a true reflection of the samples analysed. Data is only reported as accredited when all the requirements of our Quality System have been met. In certain circumstances where all the requirements of the Quality System have not been met, for instance if the associated AQC has failed, the reason is fully investigated and documented. The sample data is then evaluated alongside the other quality control checks performed during analysis to determine its suitability. Following this evaluation, provided the sample results have not been effected, the data is reported but accreditation is removed. It is a requirement of our Accreditation Body for data not reported as accredited to be considered indicative only, but this does not mean the data is not valid.

Where possible, and if requested, samples will be re-extracted and a revised report issued with accredited results. Please do not hesitate to contact the laboratory if further details are required of the circumstances which have led to the removal of accreditation. Laboratory records are kept for a period of no less than 6 years.

#### **REPORTS FROM THE SOUTH AFRICA LABORATORY**

Any method number not prefixed with SA has been undertaken in our UK laboratory unless reported as subcontracted.

#### **Measurement Uncertainty**

Measurement uncertainty defines the range of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measured quantity. This range of values has not been included within the reported results. Uncertainty expressed as a percentage can be provided upon request.

#### **Customer Provided Information**

Sample ID and depth is information provided by the customer.

#### Age of Diesel

The age of release estimation is based on the nC17/pristane ratio only as prescribed by Christensen and Larsen (1993) and Kaplan, Galperin, Alimi et al., (1996).

Age estimation should be treated with caution as it can be influenced by site specific factors of which the laboratory are not aware.

#	ISO17025 (UKAS Ref No. 4225) accredited - UK.
SA	ISO17025 (SANAS Ref No.T0729) accredited - South Africa
В	Indicates analyte found in associated method blank.
DR	Dilution required.
М	MCERTS accredited.
NA	Not applicable
NAD	No Asbestos Detected.
ND	None Detected (usually refers to VOC and/SVOC TICs).
NDP	No Determination Possible
SS	Calibrated against a single substance
SV	Surrogate recovery outside performance criteria. This may be due to a matrix effect.
W	Results expressed on as received basis.
+	AQC failure, accreditation has been removed from this result, if appropriate, see 'Note' on previous page.
>>	Results above quantitative calibration range. The result should be considered the minimum value and is indicative only. The actual result could be significantly higher.
*	Analysis subcontracted to an Element Materials Technology approved laboratory.
AD	Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C
со	Suspected carry over
LOD/LOR	Limit of Detection (Limit of Reporting) in line with ISO 17025 and MCERTS
ME	Matrix Effect
NFD	No Fibres Detected
BS	AQC Sample
LB	Blank Sample
N	Client Sample
ТВ	Trip Blank Sample
OC	Outside Calibration Range

#### HWOL ACRONYMS AND OPERATORS USED

HS	Headspace Analysis.
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent.
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel.
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography.
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics.
AL	Aliphatics only.
AR	Aromatics only.
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography.
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EU_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
-	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +).
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry.

EMT Job No: 23/20422

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465:1993(E) and BS1377-2:1990.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.			AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM16	Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5/TM36	please refer to TM5 and TM36 for method details	PM8/PM12/PM16	please refer to PM8/PM16 and PM12 for method details			AR	Yes
TM17	Modified US EPA method 8270D v5:2014. Determination of specific Polychlorinated Biphenyl congeners by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM20	Modified BS 1377-3:1990/USEPA 160.1/3 (TDS/TS: 1971) Gravimetric determination of Total Dissolved Solids/Total Solids	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM21	Modified BS 7755-3:1995, ISO10694:1995 Determination of Total Organic Carbon or Total Carbon by combustion in an Elfra TOC furnace/analyser in the presence of oxygen. The CO2 generated is quantified using infra-red detection. Organic Matter (SOM) calculated as per EA MCERTS Chemical Testing of Soil, March 2012 v4.	PM24	Preparation of Soil and Marine Sediment Samples for Total Organic Carbon.	Yes		AD	Yes

**EMT Job No:** 23/20422

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM26	Determination of phenols by Reversed Phased High Performance Liquid Chromatography and Electro-Chemical Detection.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metals by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry): WATERS by Modified USEPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4, 1994; Modified EPA Method 6010B, Rev.2, Dec 1996; Modified BS EN ISO 11885:2009: SOILS by Modified USEP 6010B, Rev.2, Dec.1996; Modified EPA Method 3050B, Rev.2, Dec.1996	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.			AD	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metals by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry): WATERS by Modified USEPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4, 1994; Modified EPA Method 6010B, Rev.2, Dec 1996; Modified BS EN ISO 11885:2009: SOILS by Modified USEP 6010B, Rev.2, Dec.1996; Modified EPA Method 3050B, Rev.2, Dec.1996	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.	Yes		AD	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metals by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry): WATERS by Modified USEPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4, 1994; Modified EPA Method 6010B, Rev.2, Dec 1996; Modified BS EN ISO 11885:2009: SOILS by Modified USEP 6010B, Rev.2, Dec.1996; Modified EPA Method 3050B, Rev.2, Dec.1996	PM17	Modified method BS EN12457-2:2002 As received solid samples are leached with water in a 10:1 water to soil ratio for 24 hours, the moisture content of the sample is included in the ratio.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID co- elutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID co- elutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013I	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013I	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AD	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013I	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM60	TC/TOC analysis of Waters by High Temperature Combustion followed by NDIR detection. Based on the following modified standard methods: USEPA 9060A (2002), APHA SMEWW 5310B:1999 22nd Edition, ASTM D 7573, and USEPA 415.1.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
#### **Element Materials Technology**

**EMT Job No:** 23/20422

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM61	Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour Atomic Fluorescence - WATERS: Modified USEPA Method 245.7, Rev 2, Feb 2005. SOILS: Modified USEPA Method 7471B, Rev.2, Feb 2007	PM0	No preparation is required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 (1982) and 9045D Rev. 4 - 2004) and BS1377- 3:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 (1982) and 9045D Rev. 4 - 2004) and BS1377- 3:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM11	Extraction of as received solid samples using one part solid to 2.5 parts deionised water.	Yes		AR	No
TM173	Analysis of fluoride by ISE (Ion Selective Electrode) using modified ISE method 9214 - 340.2 (EPA 1998)	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	NONE	No Method Code			AD	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	PM17	Modified method BS EN12457-2:2002 As received solid samples are leached with water in a 10:1 water to soil ratio for 24 hours, the moisture content of the sample is included in the ratio.				
NONE	No Method Code	PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465:1993(E) and BS1377-2:1990.			AR	
Subcontracted	See attached subcontractor report for accreditation status and provider.					AR	

Method Code Appendix



#### Laboratory Test Report Uniaxial Compressive Strength

Project:	Blackglen Road, Sandyford	Job Number	12844-05-23
Client:	Ground Investigations Ireland	Lab Ref No	ST 27304
	Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road	Date Received	23/01/2024
	Newcastle. Co. Dublin	Date Tested	29/01/2024
<b>Originator:</b>	Stephen Kealy	Date Reported	26/01/2024

Sample Reference	Moisture Content	Density (Mg/m³)	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
BH01 4.50-4.70	2.5	2608	28.7
BH02 4.70-5.00	1.1	2611	49.5
BH03 6.50-6.75	1.0	2593	31.7

Remarks: Cores BH01 4.50-4.70, BH02 4.70-5.00, BH03 6.50-6.75 Visible Cracks

JRWard

Approved Signature James Ward, Operations Manager CMTL Ireland Limited

#### CMTL Ireland Limited Unit D, Zone 5, Clonminam Business Park Portlaoise, Co. Laois R32 W30Y Tel: 057 8664885



#### Laboratory Test Report Point Load Strength Index

Project :	Blackglen Road, Sandyford					Job Number 12844-05-23						
Client :	Ground Investigations Ireland						Lab Ref No ST 27303					
	Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road						Date Re	e Received 23/01/2024				
	Newcastle, Co. Dublin						Date Te	26/01/2024				
Originator	Driginator : Stephen Kealy						Date Re	ported	26/01/202	24		
Point Load	Strength Inde	x										
Sample No:-	Depth (m)	Description	Type	Orientation	W (mm)	D (mm)	P (kN)	A	De (mm)	s	ш	l <sub>s(50)</sub> MN/m²
BH01	3.50-3.70	1,2	D	⊥	63.0	65.0	5.40	4095	65.0	1.278	1.13	1.44
BH02	2.10-2.40	1,2	D	⊥	63.0	64.0	4.00	4032	64.0	0.977	1.12	1.09
BH03	4.50-4.85	1,2	D	$\perp$	63.0	64.0	7.00	4032	64.0	1.709	1.12	1.91
Description : Description :	1 : Brown / Grey 2 : Cracks	y Marble										
					I <sub>s(50)</sub> MN	I/m² for		Descr	iption 1,2			
					N	lin			1.09			
					Me	ean		1.48				
						ax			1.91			
Test A = axial					Relationship to planes of weakness IL = irregular lump $\bot$ = perpendicular							
D = diametri	cal				II = parall	el						
					Mea	n Value						
					I <sub>s(50)</sub> MN/m²		U.C.S. MN/m <sup>2</sup>					
Extremely W	/eak					<0	.05		0.6-1.0			
Very Weak				0.05	-0.20		1.0-5.0					
Weak				0.20	-0.50		5.0-2	25.0				
Medium Strong				0.50	-2.00		25 50.1	50 00				
				2.00	-4.50		50-100					
Extremely Strong					9.00 + >250							
	The state	ed result or	nly relates t	to the iten	n/location to	ested, this	report shal	l not be re	produced exc	ept in full.		

JRWard

Approved Signature James Ward, Operations Manager CMTL Ireland Limited

## **APPENDIX 7** – Groundwater Monitoring Records





Catherinestown House, Hazelhatch Road, Newcastle, Co. Dublin. D22 YD52

 Tel:
 01 601 5175 / 5176

 Email:
 info@gii.ie

 Web:
 www.gii.ie

### **GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

### Blackglen Road Sandyford

BOREHOLE	DATE	TIME	GROUNDWATER (m BGL )	Comments
BH01	12/01/2024	09:27:00	2.03	
BH03	12/01/2024	09:32:00	1.61	

## Appendix I – Road Safety Audit Report





Contact us +353 1 5242060 info@ors.ie www.ors.ie

## 2024

Stage 1 Road Safety Audit Proposed Residential Development, Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Co. Dublin.

ENGINEERING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

#### Stage 1 Road Safety Audit Proposed Residential Development, Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Co. Dublin.

#### **Document Control Sheet**

Client:	Hayes Higgins
Document No:	240995-ORS-XX-XX-RP-TR-13g-001

Revision	Status	Author:	Reviewed by:	Approved By:	Issue Date
P01	S2	AP	MG	MG	01/07/2024

## ORS

#### **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction	2
2	Description of the Proposed Development	3
3	Problems Raised from the Road Safety Audit	4
	3.1 Potential Problems Identified	4
	3.2 General Problems Identified	14
4	Audit Team Statement	16
A	ppendix A – Inspected Documents	17
A	Appendix B – Designer Response Form	18

### 1 Introduction

This report documents the findings of a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (RSA) carried out with respect to a Proposed Residential Development at Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Co. Dublin.

The audit team conducted the site visit on Monday the 17<sup>th</sup> of June 2024. The audit was carried out in the offices of ORS on Thursday the 27<sup>th</sup> of June 2024.

The audit team comprised of the following people:

Audit Team Leader:	
Adam Price	BEng (Hons), CEng, MIEI
Audit Team Member:	

AEng, MIEI

During the site visit the weather was dry and overcast. The road surface was dry, and the traffic levels were noted to be low across the audit period.

Previous Road Safety Audits were not available for review. The audit team reviewed the following documents and drawings provided by the Design Team:

(1) BGR-JF-ZZ-DR-A-0005

Mark Gallagher

- (2) BGR-JF-ZZ-DR-A-1010
- (3) 23ME022-ME-1000(C)
- (4) 23D059\_02\_Rev A\_Proposed Drainage Layout.

Documents/Information not supplied:

- Departures from Standards.
- Traffic counts and speed survey.

The terms of reference / procedure for the Audit were as per the relevant sections of the **Transport Infrastructure Ireland Road Safety Audit Standard GE-STY-01024**. The audit examined only those issues within the design relating to the road safety implications of the scheme and has therefore not examined or verified the compliance of the designs to any other criteria. The Road Safety Audit should not be treated as a design check.

The problems identified and described in this report are considered by the Audit Team to require action to improve the safety of the development and minimise accident occurrence. All comments, references and recommendations in this safety audit are in respect of the review of information supplied by the Design Team.

### 2 Description of the Proposed Development

The proposed scheme put forward by the Design Team on behalf of Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council is for the development of 127 dwellings is a mix of Social, Cost Rental and Affordable units at Blackglen road, Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin.

The mix is: 24 Affordable houses, 01 High support unit, 102 Social and Cost Rental units - made up of houses and apartments. and associated siteworks

The speed limit in the vicinity of the access point is 50km/h. Please refer to the proposed layout at **Figure 2.1** below for further details.



Figure 2.1: Site Layout (Source: JFOC Architects)

### 3 Problems Raised from the Road Safety Audit

The following are problems and recommendations to address the safety issues associated with the proposal. The recommendations are proposed to the designer of the scheme to reduce any safety risks associated with it.

#### 3.1 Potential Problems Identified

#### Problem No.1: Sightlines

#### **Location: Main Access Point**

The audit team note from the drawings provided that sightline distances are not marked clearly on the drawings. Inadequate sightlines for vehicles exiting the development and/or for vehicles and vulnerable users travelling along Blackglen Road may lead to unsafe exit manoeuvres by vehicles departing the development which could cause vehicular collisions, leading to Injury.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that the visibility and sightlines for vehicles exiting the development are provided and appropriate to ensure that drivers can see vehicles and vulnerable users approaching along Blackglen Road from either direction. Additionally, the design team should ensure that sightlines are detailed to the nearside road edge and that the sightline envelope is clear of all obstructions such as street furniture and boundary walls.

## Problem No.2: Tie-in to Existing Infrastructure Location: Main Access Point

The audit team note from the site visit and drawings and the site visit that the proposed drawings do not detail the existing arrangements on site and how the development will safely tie into the new carriageway arrangements along Blackglen Road. Lack of an appropriate tie-in to the existing arrangements on Blackglen Road could lead to safety hazards for all users.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that the existing arrangements on Blackglen Road are clearly detailed on the proposed plans and to ensure the at the proposed development ties in appropriately with these arrangements.

## Problem No.3: Excessive Radii at Main Access Location: Main Access Point

The audit team note from the drawing provided that the main access radii is excessive and will result in increased speeds of vehicles entering the development which could increase the likelihood of potential conflicts with vulnerable users crossing the main access and vehicles exiting the development.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that the kerb radii at the main access point is reduced in accordance with appropriate design standards to mitigate the safety risk identified.

### Problem No.4: Pedestrian Permeability

#### Location: Across Main Access along Blackglen Road

The audit team note from the drawings provided that there are no details relating to a proposed pedestrian crossing point at the main access point onto Blackglen Road. This could lead to users crossing in undesignated locations which could result in trip and falls. The lack of a dedicated crossing point could restrict passage for mobility impaired users in particular.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that a dedicate crossing point is provided for along pedestrian desire lines at the main access to the development.

## Problem No.5: Speed Control Measures and Road Widths Location: Main Access Road

The audit team noted from the drawings provided, that there are no speed control measures proposed throughout the scheme. The audit team are concerned that the layout as its currently presented particularly with the excessive road widths could encourage higher speeds which could put vulnerable users within the development at risk. Should a collision occur there is a high risk of injury to the vulnerable user.



#### **Recommendations:**

The design team should ensure that appropriate speed control measures are incorporated within the development to reduced vehicular speeds. The design team should also ensure that the road width is reduced to mitigate the risk of speeding further.

#### Problem No.6: Positioning of Lighting Columns in Landscaped Areas Location: Multiple Locations Throughout Scheme

The audit team note from the drawings provided that there are public lighting columns which appear to be positioned within areas where trees are proposed. This could result in the proposed trees or landscaping restricting the light from the public lighting which could result in reduced lighting levels across the site. This could result in a potential safety hazard for both vulnerable users and vehicles in low light conditions.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that public lighting is not restricted by proposed trees or landscaping. The design team should position lighting columns away from landscaping areas to mitigate the risk.

## Problem No.7: Crossing Points with No Footpaths Location: Junction Identified

The audit team note from the drawings provided that there are proposed crossing points indicated on the drawings at the location identified. However, there does not appear to be footpaths provided at either side of the crossing. The lack of footpaths at these locations could lead to a high potential for trip and falls among vulnerable users and a severe lack of accessibility for mobility impaired users.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that appropriate footpath provisions including dropped kerbs and tactile paving are provided for at all crossing points.

## Problem No.8: Carriageway Geometry Location: Location Identified

The audit team note from the drawings provided that the carriageway sticks out at the location identified to allow for parking in the area. This section of carriageway could result in potential vehicle conflicts due to the fact that a parked car and the proposed kerbing will extend out in the carriageway essentially reducing the carriageway width abruptly.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should redesign the carriageway in this area to ensure that there are no abrupt changes to carriageway widths and that the edgeline of the carriageway is uniform.

## Problem No.9: Footpath Widths Location: Throughout Scheme

The audit team note from the drawings provided that the footpath appears to narrow down to inappropriate widths in several locations. This could increase the likelihood of potential conflicts among users and could also result in vulnerable users stepping into the roadway to avoid other footpath users. This could increase the likelihood of potential conflicts with vehicles on the main access road.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should redesign the carriageway in this area to ensure that there are no abrupt changes to carriageway widths and that the edgeline of the carriageway is uniform.

#### Problem No.10: Accessibility of Disabled Parking Spaces Location: Disabled Parking Spaces Identified

The audit team note from the drawings provided, that there are disabled parking spaces provided within development. It is not clear from the drawings provided if appropriate dropped kerbing is provided for these spaces at the locations identified below. The audit team are concerned that users of the spaces may not have access to the footpath and may use the closest road to access the footpath resulting in potential collisions between vehicles and vulnerable road users.



#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should provide adequate provision for users of the spaces to access the footpath safely.

#### 3.2 General Problems Identified

#### Problem No.11: Lack of Dimensions Location: Proposed Scheme

The audit team note from the drawings provided, that there is a lack of dimensions on the drawings. Roadway widths, corner radii, footpath widths are not detailed on the drawings. Inadequate infrastructure geometry may create an increased risk of potential conflicts for both vulnerable road users and motorists.

#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that adequate roadway widths, corner radii, footpath widths are detailed on the drawings.

#### Problem No.12: Signage and Road Markings Location: Throughout Scheme

The audit team note that there is a lack of signage and/or road markings on the drawings provided. Signage and road markings aid in informing motorists of any hazards, locations to stop and/ or yield and the presence of pedestrians/crossings etc.

#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that signage and road markings are provided in line with DMURS and the applicable Traffic Signs Manual.

#### Problem No.13: Drainage

#### **Location: Throughout Scheme**

The audit team note from the drawings provided that there is no provision for drainage channels/ gully positions for the proposed stormwater network on the main access road. Inadequate gully positioning may lead to issues of ponding in areas of the development which poses a risk of slips, trips or falls to vulnerable road users.

#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should ensure that details and locations of all drainage gullies etc are provided for across the site and positioned strategically to avoid the risk of ponding across the site and in particular at any proposed pedestrian crossing points of at any proposed ramps within the scheme.

#### Problem No.14: Vehicle Swept Path Analysis Location: Proposed Scheme

The audit team has observed that no vehicle swept path analysis has been conducted based on the provided drawings. To ensure the road layout is optimally designed for emergency and service vehicles, it is crucial to undertake a thorough swept path analysis using appropriate design vehicles. This analysis will confirm that the road configuration allows for safe turning movements without encroaching on pedestrian areas or mounting kerbs, thereby minimising potential conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. Additionally, the swept path analysis should encompass all relevant vehicle turning movements, ensuring that vehicles can manoeuvre smoothly within the property.

#### **Recommendation:**

The design team should analyse vehicle swept paths on the scheme with industry standard software to assess vehicle wheel paths during turning movements to confirm the suitability of the road and internal driveway layout for intended vehicle purposes.

### 4 Audit Team Statement

We certify that we have examined the drawings listed in Appendix A and examined the site by means of a site visit. This examination has been carried out with the sole purpose of identifying any features of the design that could be removed or modified to improve the safety of the scheme. The issues that we have identified have been noted in the report, together with suggestions for improvement, which we recommend should be studied for implementation.

Audit Team Leader: Adam Price: BEng (Hons), CEng, MIEI

ORS Signed: ALP

Date: 28th June 2024

Audit Team Member: Mark Gallagher, MIEI ORS Signed: Hark Callagher

Date: 28<sup>th</sup> June 2024

ENGINEERING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

### **Appendix A – Inspected Documents**

The audit team reviewed the following drawings and documents provided by the Design Team:

- (1) BGR-JF-ZZ-DR-A-0005
- (2) BGR-JF-ZZ-DR-A-1010
- (3) 23ME022-ME-1000(C)
- (4) 23D059\_02\_Rev A\_Proposed Drainage Layout.

## Appendix B – Designer Response Form

Job: 240995 – Proposed Residential Development, Blackglen Road, Sandyford, Co. Dublin

Stage of Audit: Stage 1

Date Audit Completed: 27/06/2024.

Problem	Тс	o Be Completed by th	ne Designer	To be Completed Audit Team Leader
Reference in Safety Audit Report	Problem Accepted (Yes/No)	Recommendation Accepted (Yes/No)	Alternative Option (Describe) (Only complete if recommendation not accepted)	Alternative Option Accepted by Auditors (Yes/No)
P1				
P2				
P3				
P4				
P5				
P6				
P7				
P8				
P9				
P10				
P11				
P12				
P13				
P14				

Signed:	Designer	Date:
Signed:	Audit Team Leader	Date:
Signed:	Employer	Date:

ENGINEERING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE





# Access more information on our services and expertise by visiting our brand-new

website.

ORS





### Find Us Nationwide, on LinkedIn or on Youtube in 🕨

) Block A,

- Marlinstown Business Park, Mullingar, Co. Westmeath, Ireland, N91 W5NN
- Office 2, Donegal Town, Enterprise Centre, Lurganboy, Donegal Town, Co. Donegal, Ireland, F94 KT35
- Suite: G04, Iconic Offices, Harmony Row, Dublin 2, Co. Dublin, Ireland, D02 H270
- ) Office 4, Spencer House, High Road, Letterkenny, Co. Donegal, Ireland, F92 PX8N
- Level One, Block B, Galway Technology Park, Parkmore, Co. Galway, Ireland, H91 A2WD
- NSQ2, Navigation Square, Albert Quay, Cork Ireland, T12 W351